Clerk's Filo Copy

BALLY MANUFACTURING CORPORATION, Docket No. a Delaware corporation, 78 C 2246 Plaintiff/Counterdefendant, VS.) Chicago, Illinois) January 9, 1984 D. GOTTLIEB & CO., a corporation,)9:50 a.m. WILLIAMS ELECTRONICS, INC., a corporation, and ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, Defendants/Counterplaintiffs. United States Action Court VOLUME V-A TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN F. GRADY TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY: MR. JEROLD B. SCHNAYER MR. MELVIN M. GOLDENBERG APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: Counterdefendant: MR. KATZ MR. TONE MS. SIGEL For the Defendants/ Counterplaintiffs: MR. LYNCH MR. HARDING MR. GOLDENBERG MR. ELLIOTT MR. RIFKIN MR. GOTTLIEB

Court Reporter:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

23

25

LAURA M. BRENNAN 219 South Dearborn Street, Room 1918 Chicago, Illinois 60604

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

THE CLERK: 78 C 2246, Bally v. Gottlieb, case on trial.

MR. TONE: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. LYNCH: Good morning, Judge.

THE COURT: Good morning, counsel.

DAVID J. NUTTING, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN.

THE COURT: Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Lynch.

CROSS EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. LYNCH:

Mr. Nutting, at the conclusion of the day yesterday -- I mean on Friday -- we were discussing the agreement that you had with Bally.

Did anyone from Bally tell you on the occasion of the demonstration of Flicker in September 1974 that Bally itself had underway an electronic pin project?

- To the best of my recollection, they did not.
- I show you what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 32.

Mr. Nutting, this document was given to the Bally representatives at the time of the demonstration of Flicker in September 1974, correct?

- That is correct.
- In that device or in that exhibit, on the third page it

- 1 suggests that this microcomputer system would be validly used
- 2 to control the number of different devices, correct?
- 3 Arcade game devices, yes.
- 4 Q Arcade game devices; vending machines as well?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Devices other than devices that would have a surface projectile associated with them, correct?
- 8 A. Not necessarily.
- 9 Q Does a juke box have a surface projectile connected with 10 it?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q Does a driving game such as shown in the upper left?
- 13 A. No, but a bowler would.
- 14 Q Pardon?
- 15 A A bowler would.
- 16 Q A bowler would, yes.
- On the last page of the document there are pro18 jected costs for two-player pinball outlined, correct?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q Did you prepare that?
- 21 A. Yes, I did.
- 22 Q. What happened after that meeting? Immediately thereafter 23 what happened between Dave Nutting Associates and Bally?
- 24 A Relative to pinball?
- 25 Q Yes, relative to this entire proposal for the Bally Brain?

A I had ongoing conversations with Dan Conroy.

Q I show you what has been marked as Defendants' Exhibit 2-K.

Exhibit 2-K is a letter that you wrote Mr. Conr of Bally on October 18, 1974 or thereabouts, is that correct, sir?

A. That is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

25

Q At that time you indicated:

"As of now" -- in the third paragraph -- "the system is completely debugged and ready for im-mediate production. The ultimate test in my mind, the system can withstand a one-inch spark from a status generator."

When was that test done?

- A That test would have been -- particular test would have been done in the October time frame.
- Q Now, at this time you were trying to sell this Bally Brain concept to Bally, correct?
- A That's correct.
- Q Did you at some point in time receive notice from Bally that they were not interested?
- A At some moment in time, yes.
- Q Sometime in 1975?
- 17 | A In 1975.
- 18 | Q And at that point in time you attempted to interest
- 19 Mr. Judd Weinberg of Gottlieb. Isn't that correct?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q I show you what has been marked as Exhibit 7A,
 22 Mr. Nutting.

Exhibit 7A is a letter that you wrote to Mr. Judd Weinberg of Gottlieb on or about May 21, 1975. Correct?

A That is correct.

Now, in that letter you indicate at the last sentence on the first page that: "The Bally design will achieve the same results but will cost twice as much to produce, and they will never be able to compete."

Do you see that, Mr. Nutting?

A Yes.

Can I read it? (Witness reading document.)
Okay.

- Now, at that point in time then you must have received some information about the Bally design. Correct?
- A Yes, that must be correct.
- Q And you are indicating that the Bally design was different than your design. Correct?
- A Yes, I am.
- On the next page -- in your testimony you indicated that when you approached Gottlieb there was a nondisclosure agreement problem.
- A That is correct.
- Q To clarify the record, it is the case, is it not, that when you approached Gottlieb their return response to you was that they wanted you to sign an agreement whereby they would not be bound by any confidential relationship with Dave Nutting Associates? Correct?
- A They asked me to sign a nondisclosure agreement.

```
655
```

Q Well, nondisclosure is the problem -- is the word with which I have a problem.

'It'was Plaintiff's Exhibit 65.72

Plaintiff's Exhibit 65 is the response that you received, correct?

A That is correct. Mt. 20

And just some understand what is meant by your characterization of a nondisclosure agreement, Gottlieb was wanting Dave Nutting Associates to sign an agreement saying anything Dave Nutting Associates sent to Gottlieb would be -- would not be subject to a confidential relationship or any agreement of confidence. Correct? In Asia and the subject to a confidential relationship or any agreement of confidence.

A That's basically correct, yes.

a Tect'y or " ar 'ct. , .

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O

The Land Early

~ at a respective

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

- On page 2 of your letter to Mr. Weinberg, 7-A, Exhiit
- 7-A, you were taking up with Mr. Weinberg some changes that
- you wished to be effected in that non-confidentiality arrange-
- ment that he had suggested, correct?
- A. That is correct.
- It was because Gottlieb and Dave Nutting Associates could not come to an agreement about those non-confidentiality
 - proceedings that no disclosure was ever made to Gottlieb,

That is correct.

correct?

- It is a fact that no disclosure of any type was made to
 - Gottlieb by Dave Nutting Associates about their pinball game
 - or about the Bally Brain, correct?
 - Directly or indirectly? A.
 - At this time did you make any disclosure to them?
 - At this time in May of '75? A
 - Q. Yes.
 - No, not beyond that. A
- Now, at the top of page 2 of Exhibit 7-A, you indicate in the second sentence, quote:
 - "We are not patenting a computer-run pinball," correct?
 - Where is this now? A.
 - The second sentence on page 2 of Exhibit 7-A.
 - Repeat your question.

```
2
```

- The second page of Exhibit -- I mean the second sentence on the second page of Exhibit 7-A indicates, quote:
 - "We are not patenting a computer-run pinball,"
- 4 | correct?
- 5 A. I go on to say that it related to pinball games and 6 other games.
- At the time you as a games designer recognized, did you not, Mr. Nutting, that microprocessor control inevitably would come to the game market, the arcade game market?
- 10 A. I as a games designer, yes.
- 11 Q You recognized that in 1974, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Now, at about the same time that you were contacting

 Gottlieb, you made a contact with the Mirco Company in Phoenix,
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q You eventually wound up with an agreement with Mirco,
- 18 | isn't that right?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q Now, pursuant to that, you undertook to provide a pinball
- 21 game design for Mirco, isn't that right, Mr. Nutting?
- 22 A. Yes.
- What time frame are we in now?
- 24 Q In 1975.
- 25 A. Yes.
 - O. Had von Arrow

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Which pinball playfield had you designed prior to the
- time of designing one for Mirco?
 - A In the development of the pinball project at MCI, I had worked out various playfield designs.
- Had it ever been incorporated in a game that was played in any arcade?
- 7 A No, it did not.
 - Q So this was the first pinball playfield design that you were proposing for production, the one that you proposed to Mirco?
 - A For production, yes.
 - Q At that time you had a Gottlieb Flying Carpet game at Dave Nutting Associates, correct?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q Did the design that you proposed to Mirco have any relationship to the Flying Carpet design?
 - A Yes, it did. The design approach that I presented to Mirco was that we did not have a time frame to complete a whole new playfield, that if we took an existing playfield and modified it, we could then get into production and not have the game design cycle of testing out a new playfield.
 - Q Now, I show you what has been marked as Exhibt 3-H, Mr. Nutting.
 - Now, Exhibit 3-H is a letter that you received from Mr. T. J. Connors, president of Mirco, correct?

```
1 A That is correct.
```

- Q At this time, August 29th, 1975, had something by way of a pinball machine been sent to Mirco?
- A Yes. They had received a pinball.
- Q They had received a pinball machine?
- A Yes.

- Q Prior to the time that Mirco had this agreement with Dave Nutting Associates, Mirco was a games manufacturer, isn't that correct?
 - A Yes, they were.
- Q But they had never made a pinball game before, isn't that correct?
- A That is correct.. -
- Now, in Exhibit 3-H, which has been exploded up in the placard here on the easel, Mr. Connors, is indicating to you that the prototype doesn't work.

You understood that what he was referring to there was the prototype that Dave Nutting Associates had sent him, correct?

- A That is correct.
- Q He also said, "The software does not work," isn't that correct?
- A That is correct.

- Since the software doesn't work, we have no idea of how the game is supposed to score, isn't that correct?
 - A. Well, you are reading the letter.

According to Mr. Connors, that is correct,

5 yes.

3

4

8

9

13

16

24

- As a matter of fact, Mirco never used the computer designates of provided by Dave Nutting Associates, did they?
 - A I cannot answer that.
 - You don't know whether they did or not?
- 10 | A. No, I don't.
- In the prototype referred to here, what was the processor that was incorporated in it?
 - A. I believe we used the --
- 14 Q In the prototype.
- 15 A. The prototype we delivered to them I believe was the 4040.
 - Q It was a 4040 four-bit processor, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q At a later time a design was provided to them with a 19 Fairchild F-8, correct?
- 20 A Yes, that is correct.
- Q But the prototype referred to in 3-H was a four-bit 4040?
- 23 A That is correct.
 - next step, so to speak, after the 4004, correct?

- A. That is correct.
- The 4004 was what was in the Flicker game, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - MR. LYNCH: I have no further questions, your Honor.
- 5 | BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

3

- 6 Q Mr. Nutting, prior to Mr. Frederiksen undertaking any
- 7 work with applying a microprocessor to a pinball game, isn't
- 8 | it true that you had knowledge of the use of matrices in
- 9 | various types of games?
- 10 A. We had used a matrix in one of our quiz games, yes.
- 11 Q What was the name of that game?
- 12 A. Puzzler, a game called Puzzler,
- 13 Q When was that, sir?
- 14 A That would have been around 1970, I would guess.
- 15 Q A number of those Puzzler games were sold, were they not?
- 16 A I believe we built about 50.
- 17 Q And you sold a number of those games, didn't you?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q In the matrix used in this Puzzler game, the lamps and
- 20 the switches were connected in the matrix, were they not?
- 21 A I am very vague on how the matrix was actually connected
- up. I was not responsible for that.
- 23 Q Do you know whether the switches were connected in the 24 matrix?
- 25 A Not for sure. I can't --

- Q How about the lamps?
- A. I can't for sure tell you.
- Q What else could the matrix have been connected to?
- A. It could have been connected to the stepping switches.
- Q What stepping switches, sir?
- A. There was a stepping switch in there which was the basic logic.
- Q Would that be the input to the matrix or the output to the matrix?
- A. It would be basically input.
- Q. But in any case, a matrix was used in the Puzzler game sold by -- was that Milwaukee Coin who did that?
- A. No, that would have been Nutting Industries.
- Q. Nutting Industries did that in 1970, 1971?
- A. In that time period.
- I understand when -- or tell me if my understanding is correct, sir -- that when Mr. Frederiksen proposed to you the idea of using the microprocessor in this game control, you had some doubts about it?

If I state that incorrect, please so advise me and state what the fact is.

A No, I am trying to relate as to what period of time.

Nutting - Cross

- Q Early, sir, in December of 1973.
- A Early in December '73 was still the evolution. I had -- there was nothing to have doubts about at that point.
- Q Did you at any point have doubts about the matter?
- A Yes, I did.

- Q When was that?
- A At the period where Jeff actually stated he was -appears that we are able to interconnect a microprocessor into
 the multiplexing matrix system.
- Q And wasn't one of your concerns about the ability of the microprocessor to handle switch closures?
- A Yes, it was.
- Q And wasn't it the fact that your concern was that some of these switch closures were very slow?

I think you made reference to the rollover switch in your direct testimony.

- A Yes.
- Q Now, isn't it the fact that at some point you received an assurance from Mr. Frederiksen that the microprocessor would be able to do the job?
- A He indicated that through software he felt he could solve the problem.
- Q And this was after Mr. Frederiksen had meetings with representatives of companies selling microprocessors. Isn't that true?

- A This was after a meeting with the Intel people.
- Q Did he tell you at that occasion that he had received an assurance from the Intel people that the microprocessor could operate fast enough to do the job of switch scanning?
- A I don't understand your question.
- Q After Mr. Frederiksen met with the Intel people, he gave you some kind of assurance with respect to the ability of the microprocessor to control pinball, did he not?
- A Yes, he did.
- Q What was the nature of that assurance, sir?
- A I cannot answer that. I guess it was more my faith in Jeff at that point.
- Q Well, did he deal with any specific topic, like the ability of the microprocessor to handle, in software, the switch closures?
- A Well, at the time you have to understand that I was going through a training also. So it was a two-way conversation of Jeff trying to teach and train me in this new art so that I could come up and make some type of management decisions.
- Q. I understand that, sir. But could you answer my question?
 And I can repeat it or have the reporter read it back to you.
- A Could you repeat the question.

(Question read.)

1 BY THE WITNESS:

A Mr. Goldenberg, I really can't answer that because I was in a training period where I myself wasn't half -- really understanding what even Jeff was telling me at the time.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q But you had a concern about switch closures, did you not?

A Yes, I did.

The way he explained it to me was that the -if we were going to run at 60 cycles, which he was talking
about at the time, that meant to me that, as the ball rolled
over a switch, that means instead of getting 100 points, I
might trigger like 10 times; or the next time it rolls over,
it might do it in half the time.

And that's what I was concerned about.

- Q And do I understand correctly, sir, that following this meeting with Intel, among other things he gave you assurance about the ability of the Intel microprocessor to handle that problem in software?
- A As I recall the situation, yes.

 $$MR.\ GOLDENBERG:\ Thank\ you.\ I have no further questions.$

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. TONE:

Q Mr. Nutting, during Mr. Lynch's questions Friday afternoon he inquired about the purpose of the Fairchild and

6

8

10

13

15

17

19

21

23

24

25

other semiconductor salesmen who called on MCI in 1973.

And in response to his questions you told him that they were there to sell microcomputers, I believe.

Do you recall that series of questions and

A Yes, I do.

answers?

- Q Were the Fairchild and other semiconductor salesmen there to sell any other products in addition to microcomputers?
- A Yes, they were. That's basically how they made initial contact: They were calling on us to sell other solid state devices.
- Q And by solid state devices, what do you mean? Can you be more concrete, specific?
- A Well, various solid state devices were always coming forth on the market.

Basically, solid state devices are those little chips that had various functions.

- Q Are those devices that were referred to in this proceeding as random logic?
- A Yes, those would be the devices used in a random logic circuit.
- Q Would they include diodes?
- A Oh, yes.
- Q And transistors?
- A = Oh, yes, diodes, transistors.
- Ω. I/C chips?
- A. I/C's, yes.

Mr. Lynch this morning called your attention to the second 1 2 3 4

page of Defendants' Exhibit 7-A, which is a letter from you to Mr. Judd Weinberg of Gottlieb dated May 21, 1975. He read you the words in the first paragraph:

> "We are not patenting a computer-run pinball." Do you have that exhibit in front of you?

- Yes, I do. A.
- There is a comma after pinball, is there not?
- A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Will you read the rest of the sentence?
 - "We are patenting a unique cost-effective A. system of interfacing a computer or any logic system with the unique devices as related to pinball games and other type games."
 - You told Mr. Lynch this morning about a prototype that Dave Nutting Associates delivered to Mirco in the summer of 1975, did you not?
 - A. Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Excuse me one moment, Mr. Tone.

· (Brief discussion off the record.)

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. TONE:

You told him that was a prototype referred to in Defendants' Exhibit 3-H, which is a letter from Mr. Connors of Micro to you, is that correct?

That is correct.

-

A.

What was the state or the condition of the prototype model that was delivered by Dave Nutting Associates to Mirco

that summer?

A. We delivered to Mirco in the July time period of '75 a fully functional system, a fully operational pinball game.

However, the actual game software had not been completed. In other words, just the system isself was working. The game was not fully functional from a game play standpoint.

Q I show you a document marked Plaintiffs' Exhibit 322, which purports to be a letter dated September 5th, 1975 from you to Mr. Connors.

Did you write that letter to Mr. Connors in response to his letter to you of the previous month, which is marked Defendants' Exhibit 3-H?

A. Yes, I did.

MR. TONE: Your Honor, instead of asking the witness a number of questions about the letter, I request that the Court read it now, and then it will avoid my having to ask him questions about it.

THE COURT: Fine.

MR. TONE: Perhaps I should offer it first. That is a preliminary step. I offer the letter, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, I will receive it.

2

3

5

7

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

23

25

MR. RIFKIN: What number is that, 322?

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 322 was received into evidence.)

(Brief interruption.)

THE COURT: All right, I have read that.

MR. TONE: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. TONE:

Mr. Nutting, Mr. Goldenberg referred in his cross examination to a matrix in the Puzzler game.

Did the Puzzler game use any matrix multi-

plexing?

A No, it did not.

There was also reference during Mr. Goldenberg's cross examination to the speed of the switch closures in the pinball game.

In the traditional pinball game, was it the switches that were slow or the relay logic, if you know?

A. Well, they both could be.

MR. TONE: All right. May I confer for a moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Brief interruption.)

BY MR. TONE:

- Q Does the switch closure time depend in any way on the action of the ball?
- A Yes. It can vary, as I indicated before, anywhere from

```
a tenth of a second up to a full second and even beyond.
1
             MR. TONE: No further redirect, your Honor.
2
             MR. LYNCH: No questions, your Honor.
3
             MR. GOLDENBERG: I have no questions.
4
             THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nutting. You may stand
5
   down.
6
         (Witness excused.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
```

3

5

8

9

7

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TONE: I don't know if Mr. Nutting wants to remain, but may the witness remain in the courtroom after the testimony is completed?

THE COURT: We have a problem about rebuttal. Do you want the rule to apply to rebuttal witnesses, possible rebuttal?

MR. LYNCH: Your Honor, Mr. Nutting and Mr. Frederiksen I have put on my own witness list. I may require them as
part of my case. I don't presently know whether that is
necessary or not, but I would prefer that the rule remain in
force.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. TONE: All right.

We are calling our next witness, your Honor.

(Brief interruption.)

(Witness sworn.)

THOMAS S. NIEMAN, PAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q Will you state your name and spell your last name?

- A My name is Thomas S. Nieman, N-i-e-m-a-n.
- Q Where do you live, Mr. Nieman?
- A I live in Winnetka, Illinois.
- Q. Your address?

BY MR. TONE:

A 510 Elder Lane in Winnetka.

2

4

7

- Q What is your business address?
- A I work for the Bally Manufacturing Corporation at 8700
- 3 West Bryn Mawr Avenue in Chicago.
 - Q Do you hold a particular entitled job?
- 5 A. Yes, I do. I work for the corporation, and I am director
- 6 of market development.
 - Q How long have you been director of market development?
- 8 A. Approximately two months.
 - Q What position did you hold before that?
- 10 A. I was director of marketing for the Bally/Midway
- 11 | Manufacturing Company.
- 12 Q Let's start from the other direction and go through
- 13 chronologically your employment history.
- 14 A I have been with Bally it will be 12 years this spring.
- 15 Q Did you come with Bally after your formal education was
- 16 | completed?
- 17 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 18 Q Didn't hold any job in between?
- 19 A No, sir.
- 20 Q What was your formal education?
- 21 A I graduated from the University of Michigan in 1971.
- Q What was your major or specialty?
- 23 A My degree was in speech.
- 24 Q Tell us the jobs you held in Bally and what your responsi-
- 25 bilities were in those jobs.

- A. Over the entire 12 years?
- Q Yes, very briefly.

A. Initially I worked for a division called Carousel Time in coming with Bally. I held a variety of jobs, beginning with working in the shop in regard to maintenance of the equipment and kiddie rides. I drove a truck which delivered the equipment to locations.

I held various positions up into the office, where I would keep track of the income generated from the various pieces of equipment and suggest rotations.

I worked for a while as a regional manager for Carousel Time and monitored a number of locations throughout the State of Michigan and northern Ohio, and I finished with Carousel Time in a job described as project coordinator.

I was involved in the construction aspect and the securing of equipment after they had secured a lease for a particular arcade in getting the project ready and getting the store open.

At that point in time I transferred out of Carousel Time and into the Bally Manufacturing corporate offices. I was initially involved in the sales department.

- Q . Selling what?
- Well, that department was responsible for the sale of the full product line of Bally, including coin-operated pinball equipment, coin-operated gaming equipment.

2

3

4

6

5

8

7

9

10 11

12

14

13

15

16 17

> 18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

But my responsibilities generally were with the amusement equipment, the pinball machines.

- When did that transfer take place? Q.
- A. In 1975.
- What has your responsibility been since that time with reference to pinball machines?
- With the pinball machine I was initially regional sales manager. I became more involved in the sales. I moved over into the area of promotional sales. I then assumed a responsibility for the advertising for the product line and became promotional and advertising manager.
- For what?
- For still Bally Manufacturing Corporation, for the pinball product line.
- But for the pinball product line specifically?
- That is correct, sir.

They divisionalized the pinball product line in 1978, and at that point I was made director of marketing for the Bally pinball division. I held that job up until fall 1982, when I assumed the position of director of marketing for the Bally/Midway Manufacturing Company, which was a consolidation of both product lines, both coin-operated pinball equipment and coin operated video equipment.

How long, to recapitulate with one question, were you involved in marketing pinball machines for Bally?

A Well, if you take the term "marketing" in a general sense, including the sales and marketing of the product, it would have been since I transferred into the corporate offices in 1974.

- Q During the time you had responsibility for marketing pinball machines did you have reason or need to gauge the success of various pinball machines in the marketplace?
- A Certainly part of the responsibility of the job included assessing our pinball equipment after it had been placed into the market, along with an assessment of competitive equipment to see their merit in relation to player appeal and sales potential.
 - Q Do you know what records Bally kept of its sales and production of pinball machines during the period 1975 through 1982?
 - A Well, they kept a variety of records done by different departments.
 - Certainly the ones that had the most meaning to me and to the marketing department were sales activities, production numbers, shipments, dollar values, things like that.
 - Q Did Bally prepare and maintain monthly production records?
 - A Yes, sir. The production numbers would have been maintained on a daily basis; then an issuance of a monthly report, and then of course a year-end to date.
 - Q And very briefly in a few words what did the monthly report of production contain or show?
 - A It would show the number of units produced.

The daily report would obviously show the units

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

produced for that day. The monthly report would show a culmination of that month's activities, of the total number of units produced by model.

- Did Bally also prepare and maintain year-end summaries of the number of pinball games sold during the year?
- Yes, sir, they did. Α
- And were all of these reports prepared and maintained in the ordinary course of Bally's business?
- Yes, sir. Α
- I show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 88, consisting of four pages, the first of which purports to be a letter from Patrick J. Burns of Welsh and Katz, to Messrs. Wayne Harding and Melvin Goldenberg, signed -- a covering letter; and the last three sheets are tabulations of unit sales.

And I ask you whether you are familiar with the three sheets attached to the letter?

MR. TONE: May I hand one up to your Honor.

Yes, I'm familiar with these.

BY MR. TONE:

BY THE WITNESS:

And I show you another exhibit marked Plaintiff's

Exhibit 88-A, which purports to be another letter from

- Mr. Burns to the same gentlemen, and ask whether you supplied
- Mr. Burns with the information stated in that letter?
 - Yes, I talked to Mr. Burns.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ TONE: I'll hand that one up also, your Honor. That completes the other exhibit.

BY MR. TONE:

- Q Did you -- was the summary of the last three pages of 88 prepared by you or under your direction and supervision?
- A Yes, sir, it was.
- Q And upon what records was that summary based?
- A These are sales numbers, not production numbers.

There's a computer-generated report which does a monthly culmination of that month's activity in regard to sales. It breaks it out by model, model name, model number, sales numbers and dollar value -- dollar value.

And is done -- it's generated for the end of the month for every month. It then carries a year-to-date total. And for this report we pulled the December reports for each of the years, which would then carry that December's month's activity and the year-to-date; and if you then add those two numbers, you should have the entire year's activity in sales.

- Q And was this report based upon those December sales reports?
- A Yes, it was. All except one year.
- Q And what year is that?
- A In 1975 we couldn't find the December 31st report that would have given us the same information we used for every

1 other year.

Q How did you then obtain the figures for the year 1975?

A After going through as many records and files as we could, and not finding any trace of that report, we did know a few facts and we worked the math this way:

We did know the dollar volume for the year. We knew the models that were manufactured --

- Q The dollar volume for the sales --
- A The total gross dollar --
- Q -- of pinball machines?
- A Yes, sir. The total gross dollar value for the sale of all coin-operated pinball machines for 1975.

We then took the -- we looked at the individual models and we knew what their sales price was -- were? -- we then struck an average and divided that into the gross dollar volume, and arrived at this approximate number under 1975 of 27,117 units.

- Q Did you have any way of checking or verifying the accuracy of the number arrived at in that way?
- A Well, we looked at the years on both sides of 1975; and from my best recollection that number would fit into an approximation of what that number was.

I'm not stating that it was precisely 27,117 units, but it was approximately that.

Unfortunately, then, I wasn't able to break

```
it out on a per-model basis like I did for the rest of the
1
2
   years.
        You have in court with you, Mr. Nieman, the original
3
   records on which Plaintiff's Exhibits 88 and 88-A are based?
4
        No, sir, I don't.
5
6
7
8
              1 2 24 75 7 5
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
```

4

5

6

7

8

9

1 Q What do you have in Court as the underlying figures or 2 records?

- A. I have in my briefcase the production numbers.
- Q Production numbers?
- A. The production numbers for these years. These are sales numbers, and I did not bring sales reports.
 - Q. Do you have the December sales reports with you?
- A. Not with me, no, sir.
- Q Not with you?
- 10 A Not the sales reports. I have the production reports.
- 11 Q You and I had a misunderstanding then because I thought
 12 I told you to bring them.
- 13 A. I am sorry.
- MR. TONE: If counsel wants them, we will have them.

 15 BY MR. TONE:
- 16 Q Now, I hand you, Mr. Nieman, Plaintiff's Exhibit 382, 17 and I ask you whether you recognize that exhibit.
- 18 A. Yes, sir. I do.
- 19 Q Was it prepared by you or under your direction and super-20 vision?
- 21 A. Yes, sir. It was.
- 22 Q Tell me what it shows.
- A. What it shows is the annual sales for 1974 through 1981 and distinguishes between electromechanical and electronic for each year.

- Q Does it show sales in units or in dollars?
- A. It shows sales in units.

At the bottom line, a notation of home games -those are not coin-operated pinball equipment. Those are
games built for the consumer market.

- Q On what information was this schedule based?
- A. The same source.

MR. TONE: At this point, your Honor, I would like to offer Plaintiff's Exhibits 88, 88-A and 382.

MR. LYNCH: I have no objection, your Honor, subject to perhaps the need to examine those documents later.

THE COURT: All right, they will be received.

(Plaintiff's Exhibits 88, 8-A and 382 were received into evidence.)

BY MR. TONE:

- When were electronic pinball machines first sold by Bally, Mr. Nieman?
- A They were first sold to a third party in the fourth quarter of 1976.
- Can you give us a general comparison between the sales volume of electronic pinball machines after you began to market them at Bally and the sales volume of the electromechanical pinball machines previously marketed by Bally?

 A. Well, initially, our intent was to market on the first

units games in both formats. We initially built a small

percentage of a model in the electronic format while building a vast majority in electromechanical very quickly, and by 1977 the electronic format was the dominant preference by the marketplace.

We built beyond two and three initial models in both formats. We then slid in and built 100 percent of the models in the electronic format.

Q. According to Plaintiff's Exhibit 382, by 1977, in the year 1977, electronic pinball games produced by Bally numbered over 41,000 and electromechanical fewer than 17,000.

Do you notice that?

A. Yes, sir. That is correct.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Then as I understand the exhibit, by the year 1978, the production of electromechanical games virtually ceased, is that correct?
- That is true, sir.
- The number of electronic games had increased to in excess of 76,000?
- Α Yes, sir.
- Except for between one and three machines per year and subsequent years, Bally was not building any electromechanical games, is that right?
- We were not building nor were we selling. These are sales numbers, sir.
- These are sales numbers as distinguished from production numbers? *
- Yes, sir.

ball games?

- Based upon your experience in the marketing of pinball games, coin-operated pinball games, can you tell us the reason for the switch in Bally's production during the period 1977, 1978, from electromechanical games to computer-controlled pin-
- Well, the preference in the marketplace was clearly that they wanted -- they preferred and wanted the electronic formatted games versus the electromechanical.
- When you speak of preference in the marketplace, do you mean as the buyer or the marketplace, the player of the game

or the operator of the game arcade?

A I believe the preference really came from both ends.

Both the end user, the player, had a preference for electronic games. That reflected in probably additional revenues in the cash box, which would mean the operator then would have a preference for electronic pinball machines, and, secondly, because of the maintenance on the electronic pins proved to be less expensive, the operator again preferred the electronic formatted pinball machines.

Q At some point early in the process of commencing the marketing of electronic pinball games, did Bally conduct any kind of field test?

A Yes, sir. Throughout 1976 we did an extensive field test for the electronic pinball machines.

Q Will you describe that test?

A Well, approximately 30 to 40 units were built up. The game was called Bow and Arrow, which we had built in electromechnical format previously.

20 of those units were placed out in the locations to test the income on a comparative basis, both to other electromechanical pinball machines and in many instances head-to-head with the same Bow and Arrow game but in electromechanical format.

- Q Did Bally keep a record of the results of those tests?
- A Yes, sir. We monitored the income reports from those

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

locations very closely and then maintained a record and collated that information.

- When were those tests made?
- Well, I believe the first installation was in late January or early February of '76, and the test was really run through the balance of '76.

I believe there's, oh, at least 40 weeks of maintenance of income figures from those locations, those specific 20 locations.

I show you three exhibits marked Plaintiff's Exhibits 378, 379, and 380.

THE COURT: This is a pinball, is it, this Bow and Arrow?

* THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. Bow and Arrow was the name of a particular model of a pinball machine. We had originally built it electromechanically; and then for a prototype basis, we built units in the electronic format and then placed them.

BY MR. TONE:

A Yes, that is true. The 40 weeks happen to apply to

Q Can you tell us what 378, 379, and 380 are?

Exhibit 378 is a bar graph that does a comparison between the electronic Bow and Arrow, which is represented in the solid bar, compared to the average income generated from all other electromechanical pinball equipment in that particular location.

The top sheet on mine reads Belvidere. That .

refers to the location. It is the Belvidere Mall, North

Chicago.

The graph on the left-hand column represents a dollar figure and across the bottom represents weeks, or time. It charts off in five-week increments the averages.

be a five-week average income for the electronic Bow and Arrow, comparing it directly during that same period of time to the average income generated from all other electromechanical pinball equipment in that location. That would be represented by the dotted line across.

It then goes out in five-week increments up to 40 weeks.

Q Would the same explanation apply, except that the time period may be different, to the other sheets in Plaintiff's Exhibit 378?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Belvidere. On the next page, the Indianapolis location is only 35 weeks, but the reference to the income and the time is all the same.

- Q Would you now take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 379 and tell us what that is?
- A Exhibit 379 is somewhat similar in that it takes an individual location, charts it out, and takes the electronic Bow and Arrow income and compares it to the electromechanical Bow and Arrow that was in that location.

So it is a head-to-head comparison of the income of the two machines in the same location.

- Q A head-to-head comparison of two Bow and Arrow machines, one electromechanical and the other electronic?
- A That is correct, sir, for the same period of time.

Again the income is represented on the lefthand side and the time across the bottom.

These are done in individual weeks.

- Q Weeks rather than five-week periods?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q So the numbers along the bottom are weeks, and the vertical lines in between represent individual weeks?
- A Yes, sir, and the dotted line would represent the electromechanical income.
- Q The solid line the electronic?
- A Yes, sir.

- Q The same explanation holds for all 21 pages of Exhibit 379?
- A Yes, it is just for various locations and for various lengths of time.
- Q Will you now look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 380 and tell us what that consists of?
- A 380 is really the numerical report which Exhibit 379 is derived from.

amounts for the equipment charted. The report gives, one, the serial numbers on the far left side, the location that it was in, its installation date in the next column, and then takes in weekly increments, compares the two head to head on how much revenue was generated on both machines.

- Q When were Plaintiff's Exhibit 378, 379, and 380 prepared?
- A They were prepared back in 1976.
- Q At the time this test project was concluded?
- A Well, most of the income was fed on an ongoing basis, so there were various reports. They would be updated with new income.

The final report we have here would be a determination of the test program.

- Q For what purpose were they prepared?
- A To see what the viability of an electronic pinball machine would be actually out in the street on site in a

real-life situation.

- Q Were these reports delivered by the person who prepared them or under whose supervision they were prepared to a higher level of supervision or management in Bally?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Who prepared them?
- A The maintenance of the income as it came in was under the guidance of the marketing department. The actual reports were then put into these formats by Frank Bracha.

to the contract of the contrac

the second secon

and the state of t

≟ mars oft. Sest

and the control of th

- A NOT A

" , S'T, WE C ! DET

a 1

2

3

5

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Have these reports been maintained in the ordinary course of business by Bally since they were prepared?
- A. I believe so.
- Q Can you summarize the conclusion that you reached from these field tests?
- A. We felt, after reviewing the 20 different locations over an extended period of time, it showed very clearly that the player's preference in the form of revenue generated was clearly that of the electronic versus the electromechanical.

MR. TONE: If the Court please, I offer Plaintiff's Exhibits 378, 379, and 380 in evidence.

THE COURT: They will be received.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor --

* THE COURT: Mr. Goldenberg?

MR. GOLDENBERG: I think we do object to these documents. During the course of discovery in this proceeding, we submitted many document requests which would have called for the production of these documents. They were never produced to us.

We asked for test results. We asked for all kinds of documents pertaining to the development of this product and its entry into the market, and they were not produced.

THE COURT: You didn't get this?

MR. GOLDENBERG: No, sir, we did not.

MR. TONE: Your Honor, I am not able to speak to

that. I will ask Mr. Katz what he knows about it.

MR. KATZ: Your Honor, various documents were produced, and in fact I think the defendants took the deposition of at least one witness with respect to this.

This is the first I have known that they have any objection. In other words, this material was produced earlier to the defendants before the start of this trial.

MR. TONE: We delivered it to them before the trial.

MR. KATZ: I haven't heard any objection, except right now.

THE COURT: When was it prepared?

MR. TONE: The witness said it was prepared at the time the studies were made, your Honor, not for purposes of the case.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, we did get some -- I don't know what to call these things -- showing comparative money earned or something documents, but we never saw these.

MR. KATZ: We gave you summaries, I know.

THE COURT: Why weren't these produced? That is the question.

MR. KATZ: I didn't know of their existence.

THE COURT: Why weren't you told?

MR. KATZ: I don't know, your Honor. I would have to check into it.

As I said, this is the first time Mr. Goldenberg

has raised this objection with respect to these documents.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, it is the first time they have come into the case and we have had to deal with them.

THE COURT: Well, I am wondering whether it makes much difference. I sense that maybe both sides agree that electronic machines have taken over the marketplace.

That is what this demonstrates, or purports to demonstrate.

MR. GOLDENBERG: No, I don't think so.

THE COURT: Is there a big argument about it?

MR. LYNCH: There isn't, your Honor.

Maybe in cross examination -- I just don't know the parameters of the tests. I don't know what was in these arcades. I don't know, for example --

THE COURT: I will reserve ruling until completion of the cross examination.

MR. TONE: Very well, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you about to finish with direct?

MR. TONE: No, I am not, your Honor. I have --

THE COURT: All right, then let's take a recess now.

MR. TONE: -- quite a bit more.

THE COURT: Take a ten-minute recess.

MR. TONE: Very well.

(Brief recess.)

MR. TONE: Mr. Nieman, will you resume the stand.

THE COURT: Please be seated.

BY MR. TONE:

Q I show you, Mr. Nieman, Plaintiff's Exhibit 75, and I ask you whether you recognize it.

A Yes, sir. I do.

Q Can you tell us where it came from, what files or records?

A It was issued by Bill O'Donnell, Jr., and dated

June 22, '76. At that point in time Mr. O'Donnell was in
volved in the marketing department at the Bally Manufacturing

Corporation.

It appears to be a memo that he issued to his father.

Q Who is his father?

A His father is William --

Q What role did he hold?

A I am sorry.

Mr. William T. O'Donnell, Sr., who was at that, point president and chairman of the board of Bally Manufacturing.

Q Was this document made and maintained in the regular course of business by Bally?

A Yes, sir. It was.

4 5

1

2

3

7

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

> 18 19

20

21

23

24

- Q Did Bally sell pinball games in France?

Yes, sir. They did.

- - A

games in France?

In 1976 did it begin to distribute electronic pinball

A Well, with production to third parties occurring in the fourth quarter of '76, without checking the files, I would assume France would have been shipped from initial production of electronic games and probably would have received a shipment prior to '76 if not January '77.

THE COURT: Mr. Lynch.

MR. LYNCH: Your Honor, I object on grounds of hearsay. This is the report of Mr. Santa Maria to Mr. O'Donnell. It is being introduced for the truth of what is alleged by Mr. Santa Maria.

THE COURT: Well, that is true, but I assume it would come under the business records exception if we agree that it is a document prepared in the ordinary course of business. Now, it was not prepared by this witness, but Mr. O'Donnell would be the one to say that, I guess.

MR. LYNCH: I do not think Mr. Santa Maria was an employee.

MR. TONE: No. Mr. Santa Maria, as I understand it, was a distributor.

THE COURT: Well, it does not make any difference.

If it is a business record, it can contain hearsay within it.

MR. TONE: That is correct, and I was about --

THE COURT: As long as it is in the ordinary course of business to write such memoranda.

MR. TONE: Right. If it is necessary to do so, I can call Mr. O'Donnell, Jr.

THE COURT: Do you understand my point, Mr. Lynch?

MR. LYNCH: Well, may it please the Court --

THE COURT: It can contain third-hand hearsay as business records often do. The question is is it a record made in the ordinary course of business under circumstances that tend to indicate reliability.

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

MR. LYNCH: May it please the Court, your Honor, it only contains hearsay.

This is an interdepartmental memorandum, not a report; just correspondence within Bally --

THE COURT: I understand that. But isn't it the kind of document that a business would use to govern its affairs? I mean, here they're being told by somebody that something is beneficial to the business, and so Mr. O'Donnell says, "Let's act on this information," or at least he's passing on the information with that in mind.

There's an authenticity problem -- now, wait.
O'Donnell is with Bally?

MR. TONE: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Then this is a document made in the ordinary course of Bally's business. And the only question is whether the fact that it contains this hearsay from Santa Maria makes it inadmissible; and it doesn't, in my view.

So I'll overrule the objection.

MR. TONE: All right.

BY MR. TONE:

Q Just to complete the foundation: Your name is among those who are shown to have received copies of this document. Did you receive a copy?

Nieman - Direct

- A Yes, sir, at that time I did.
- Q At that time being late June 1976?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And who was Mr. Santa Maria?
 - A Mr. Santa Maria is -- was, is -- was and is president of Bally's distributing subsidiary in France, and is an employee of the corporation.
 - Q Oh, he is an employee of the corporation.
 - A Yes, sir. It's a subsidiary of the corporation, and he is president.
 - Q Then my earlier remark was inaccurate in that respect.
 - A Yes, sir, it was.

MR. TONE: I think I offered it, your Honor, and I think -- I think I did not offer it; that Mr. Lynch raised his point before the actual offer.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}$ just to complete the formality, I'll offer the exhibit.

THE COURT: It's received over objection.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 75 received in evidence.)
BY MR. TONE:

Q You said earlier, Mr. Nieman, that -- in response to my question of what you meant when you spoke of market acceptance or an equivalent term, that you referred both to the players and the arcade operator, and you told us about player preference.

What can you say with respect to the attitude of arcade owners who bought the games from Bally or its distributing subsidiary, with respect to electronic versus electromechanical pinball games?

Well, the operator, which would include both an arcade owner or a street operator who places equipment in various locations -- it might not be an arcade, but it would be a location where you would have two or three pieces of equipment -- those operators indicated to us a preference in the electronic format pinball machine, motivated, I feel, by both the revenues that were generated within the machine comparative to electromechanical, and the maintenance factor contained on the equipment.

MR. LYNCH: Once again I object on hearsay, your Honor. Indications of operators unidentified.

MR. TONE: Well, as to that, your Honor -- does your Honor wish to hear me on the point?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. TONE: As to that, Mr. Nieman was in charge of marketing pinball games and was an expert in the marketplace. And I think he is qualified to speak based on that experience as an expert concerning the attitudes of the customers of Bally who bought the machines.

It is true that that kind of information is -- marketing information, consumer preferences and purchaser

preferences -- is necessarily based on hearsay. But it's a -
it's nevertheless something that one has to learn when he's

in that position.

THE COURT: You're saying that this comes under the rule that says that an expert can testify based on information of the kind generally relied upon by experts.

MR. TONE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: That seems reasonable to me. I'll over-rule the objection.

BY MR. TONE:

- Q During the period 1974 through 1982, Mr. Nieman, who were Bally's principal competitors in the manufacture and sale of pinballs?
- A The principal competitors in the manufacture and sale of coin-operated pinball equipment would have been
- D. Gottlieb & Company and Williams Electronics.
- Q Unless I indicate otherwise I mean to refer to coinoperated pinball games.
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Do you know during that period how those three companies ranked in sales with respect to coin-operated pinball machines, during the period up to 1976?
- A Well, the actual sales numbers from the different manufacturers have never been available to a single source to actually assess each manufacturer's individual market

1 | share.

But in the responsibility of the sales and marketing of the product one has to be in almost constant communication and flow with the marketplace, the operators, and the distributors, and through that I had a perception of where the three manufacturers ranked, if you will, as far as their different market shares.

- Q Through that you had formed an opinion as to the ranking of the three manufacturers?
- A Yes, sir. to it f
- Q And what was that opinion?

W 4 110 0 0

A Well, in 1974 I think it was clearly D. Gottlieb & Company was the dominant, number one manufacturer of coin-operated pinball equipment, and probably have held that position since the inception of production for them.

At that point I think Bally and Williams would have been closer to each other in actual sales, with Williams probably holding an edge, which would put Williams number two and Bally number three.

- We are speaking now of your perception and opinion at the time in the particular years in question, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q Have you since had access to actual figures of production 5 of pinball machines by manufacturers other than Bally, speci-6 fically Gottlieb and Williams?
- Yes, sir, just recently I was shown numbers by counsel of the two other manufacturers, comparing all three factories from '74 through '81 or '82.
 - Q I call your attention to Plaintiff's Exhibits 89, 89-A and 90.
 - Are those the figures to which you refer?

 A Yes, sir, I was shown by counsel these documents as representative of the manufacturing numbers from the two other manufacturers, production numbers.
 - Based upon these numbers and the statistics for Bally with respect to pinball games, did you prepare a chart summarizing production totals for the three manufacturers during the period 1974 to 1981?
 - A. Yes, sir, when we got these numbers, because they were production numbers and not sales numbers, we then pulled the Bally production numbers for those various years to give us a comparable figure and then did a comparative memo, showing the three different manufacturers for each of those years from '74.

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

25

23 24

Did you then compare the Bally production numbers with the Bally sales numbers to see that they correlated with one another?

We compared the two, yes, just to see that there was no great variances. It would be impossible to -- Ideally a manufacturer only builds exactly what he can sell, but in any given year the production number and the sales number might not necessarily correlate exactly.

We were looking for --

- That is because obviously machines built toward the end of a particular year would probably be sold in the beginning of the following year.
- That is true.
- So they would appear as production in one year and sales in the next year, right?
- That is true.
- What did you find when you correlated Bally's production numbers and sales numbers?
- What we didn't find is any great variance that might have indicated that either one of the numbers were off tremendously. They were all within a range of each other that seemed reasonable.
- Was there a reason for showing in the comparison you made production totals rather than sales totals?
- A Yes, sir, because we didn't get sales figures.

never showed me sales figures from the other manufacturers. They only showed the production numbers.

To make it comparable in figures, we then went back and pulled the production numbers for those individual years. So we all had production figures across.

- In order then in comparing Bally's sales with the sales of Gottlieb and Williams, you would compare the same data, right?
- A I can't compare Bally's sales to anything because I haven't been given sales figures per se for those factories. I was only given production.
- Since you only had production figures, you converted

 Bally's or you assembled Bally's figures as production figures

 and used those in your table, right?
- A. I didn't convert them, sir. I found the production numbers.

MR. TONE: Would the reporter read, back that answer?

A (Read by the reporter.)

BY MR. TONE:

- I show you Plaintiff's Exhibits 381-A and 381-B, and I ask whether 381-A is the table that you have been testifying about which you prepared from the production statistics for the three companies?
- A Yes, sir, this is the comparison that I worked with

'

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

14 24

25

with counsel in preparing the individual year production numbers for the three factories and indicating whether it was electromechanical production or electronic production.

- At our request did you also prepare a graph in which you showed graphically the statistics that appear on Plaintiff's Exhibit 381-A?
- A I don't have it.
- Q I think I handed you both.
- A Really?

No.

Q Here it is.

MR. TONE: Read the question back to the witness.

Q (Read by the reporter.)

BY THE WITNESS:

- A Yes, sir, in cooperation with counsel, we made a very simple line graph, using the numbers generated in 381-A and produced what is indicated as 381-B.
- BY MR. TONE:
- Are the production totals shown in 381-A an accurate relection of the underlying data you testified about having received from Gottlieb and Williams and the information obtained from Bally's records?
- A. Yes, sir.

- 1 2

- Q Looking at 381-A, what was the ranking of the three manufacturers before the introduction of electronic pinball games?
- A Well, if you take 1974 as the year prior to the introduction of electronics, Gottlieb was, in fact, the largest manufacturer in production quantities. Williams was, in fact, second, and Bally was third.
- Q Actually, 1975 was the year before the introduction?
- A That was the immediate year before introduction of electronics.
- Q All right.
- The same ranking applied there with respect to Gottlieb, but Bally had moved up to about a tie with Williams in '75, right?
- A Within approximately 500 units of Williams. It had just edged into the number two position.
- Q Then '76 was the year in which a trickle of electronic machines was introduced by Bally, right?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q The production ranking of the three companies was about the same in 1976?
- A According to the numbers that we could generate, it appeared to have flopped back to Gottlieb again in the dominant number one position. Williams just eked out Bally in 1976, and Bally was in the number three position again.

- Q Then can you tell us generally what is shown for the years 1977 and 1978?
- A Well, Bally then began to move in its market share, and its total number of units projected it into the number one position.
- Q Turning now to 381-B, which graphically portrays the information contained on 381-A, is it correct that the dotted line represents electromechanical games?
- A Yes, sir. That is the total for all three manufacturers' electromechanical production.
- Q The solid line represents electronic games?
- A Yes, sir, for all three manufacturers.
- Q It shows, as does 381, that eventually electronic games displaced electromechanical games?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q That occurred -- strike that.
- I notice that according to 381-B, beginning in 197 -- 1980, I guess --
- I am looking at 381-A. It would appear that the trend began in 1980 and accelerated rapidly in 1981 in the reduction of the number of pinball games shown, right?
- A Yes, sir, 19 --

9--1 Q In other words, the market turned down sometime in 1980?

A Yes, sir. It began to erode late 1979, and through

1980, '81, and into '82, the pinball market continued to

4 decline.

operated games?

Q Do you have an opinion based on your marketing experience as to the reason for that decline?

A. Well, I think there are two factors involved.

One, with the introduction of electronics, you then had the entire electromechanical pinball population to replace. That replacement or displacement took place starting in '77, occurred in '78 and through '79. A natural phenomenon would have been for eventual, after the entire act of pinball population had been repaced with electronic pins, you would then only be in a replacement market, and the numbers would naturally be smaller.

I think that downturn was sharpened by the introduction of the video boom started in 1979 with the video game, Space Invaders. It just heightened or quickened what was to be a natural occurrence of the market being saturated with electronic pins and then only replacing them. But it was quickened with the video boom took off, and immediately they were not replacing electromechanical pins necessarily with electronic pins but with video games.

Q You are referring, of course, to the video boom in coin-

A Yes, sir. I am.

- Are you aware of any reason for the change in Bally's share of the electronic -- of the pinball machine market, not the electronic -- but are you aware of any change -- the reason for the change in Bally's share of the pinball machine market other than the introduction of electronic games?
- A. I think Bally did many good things to affect the sales of pinball equipment. Predominantly, the best thing it did was convert into electronics when it did and look as the first manufacturer to offer it in electronic format.

Additionally, it was very aggressive in its marketing programs.

- Q Did Bally devote substantial resources to the promotion of electronic pinball before the games had demonstrated their success?
- A. The additional revenues or budgets that grew comparatively really followed on the success of the electronic pin rather than preceded it.

With the increase in sales, more money was spent in advertising. More money was spent in promotional activities, and more money would be spent in trade shows based on previous sales. As the sales rose, the moneys available for those activities also increased.

MR. TONE: Excuse me, your Honor, for the interrup-

BY MR. TONE:

tion.

- Q Was there a company in the market called Stern Electronics or Stern Manufacturing Company?
- A Yes, sir, there was.
- Q And prior to the introduction of electronic games were they selling pinball machines in any substantial numbers?
- A There's a time period here, and I'm not quite sure -they bought a company, Chicago Coin, which had been manufacturing pinball equipment.

Stern moved into the marketplace and acquired this company, and then started to manufacture them under the name Stern.

The exact time of that changeover -- they were in the marketplace prior to our release of electronic pins, yes.

- Q Was Chicago Coin a major factor in the market or relatively small factor?
- A Well, they were certainly smaller than Bally, and Bally was in a third position.

I think they would have been regarded by the other three manufacturers as a small element in the market-place.

Q Did Chicago Coin make electronic pinball games?

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

19

21

22 23

24

BY MR. LYNCH:

25

Not to my knowledge, no, sir. Α

And electronic games were made by Stern after Stern took over?

Yes, sir. Α

MR. TONE: If the Court please, I offer the following exhibits -- and a couple of them I may have offered, but I'm not quite sure, so I'm going to state them again: Plaintiff's Exhibits 382, 381-A and B, 89, 89-A and 90.

THE COURT: Does that include the ones that I reserved judgment on?

MR. TONE: It does include the one you reserved judgment on --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. TONE: -- and I'm offering it, but I understand your Honor will not rule until after the cross.

THE COURT: All right. I'll receive them --

MR. TONE: That concludes the direct -- oh, wait a minute --

THE COURT: $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ and reserve ruling on the ones I indicated. 1

(Brief interruption.)

MR. TONE: No further direct, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Mr. Nieman, you said you've been involved with Bally

Nieman - Direct

and marketing of pinball machines since at least about 1974.

Correct, sir?

A '74, yes, sir.

Q I show you -- I show you an article that's reproduced from a 1975 edition of Replay.

Have you ever seen that article, Mr. Nieman?

- A I don't remember back in '75, if in fact I read this article.
- Q You're quoted in the article, Mr. Nieman, on the second page, bottom of the left-hand column.

Did you in fact make those comments,

Mr. Nieman?

A Again, being in the year 1975 -- I would assume I spoke with the magazine and they've assigned some quotes to me.

Whether I said it word for word as it reads, it would be difficult under oath to say yes, I did.

- Q Yes, but back at that time in 1974, Mr. Nieman, you did have the opinion and you did communicate to people in the industry words essentially conveying that Bally would be putting its head in the sand if they didn't research the possibilities of solid state pinball games. Correct?
- A Do you mind if I read the article?
- Q Not at all.
- A Just my quote. (Witness reading article.)

 Could you ask the question, sir?

Nieman - Direct

- Q You have no doubt you made a comment of that nature, do you, Mr. Nieman?
- A Again, I would assume, quoted like this, and that many years ago -- again, I can't swear that those words were mine -- but something of that nature probably was said.
- Q You don't disagree with that, do you, at this point in time, Mr. Nieman? That Bally would have been putting its head in the sand to ignore solid state possibilities in traditional pin games?
- A No, I wouldn't disagree with that.
- Q And furthermore, you indicated that at this time in 1975
 Bally had been researching solid state possibilities in pin
 games for more than a year, correct?
- A It says that, yes.

- Q Don't you recall that in fact in 1974 Bally began and commenced researching possibilities for solid state pin games?
- A It's my understanding that Bally had made a commitment towards electronic pinballs, and it took quite some time to bring the whole project to fruition.

And to come to fruition and to build a prototype in early 1976, I would imagine that the process had begun some time prior.

Now, you also mentioned that you were wor -- or the article indicates:

"Nieman, too, is worried about serviceability

He feels that service problems and education lags

brought on by video games still exist and those

problems could be compounded by the introduction

of a digital pin game."

Do you recall the fact that as a marketing individual, you were concerned about serviceability of electronic pin games in 1974?

- A. Yes, sir, I would say as a marketing person serviceability is always a concern.
- The serviceability concern was caused by the fact that you knew and the other pin game manufacturers knew, did they not, that the arcade operators were not really up to speed on solid state electronics, correct?
- A. I would say that it was brought on by the fact that it is an educational process, and I certainly didn't understand it. My concern was that if it wasn't presented and educated in the proper format, you might have a problem if they did not learn how to work on the equipment.
- The idea, though -- by whom is the service done on a machine that is in disrepair in an arcade?
- 24 L It is done by a service technician.
 - Q Your concern was that those service technicians might

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- not be able to, without an educational process, service these new games, correct? 2
 - That is true.
 - They had been servicing electromechanical games for a long time and were familiar with such games, correct?
 - Α, That is true.
 - During the time period --

MR. TONE: Excuse me, Mr. Lynch. May I inquire? The copy of the exhibit from the magazine which you gave us doesn't bear a date.

Can you help us with that?

MR. LYNCH: It is sometime in 1975. It is a "Replay" magazine from '75.

I have been looking for the original.

MR. HARDING: I believe it is July of 1975.

MR. LYNCH: We believe it is July 1975.

I have been trying to get the original "Replay" magazine, your Honor.

I believe the document was produced by Bally. I think it was.

MR. TONE: Thank you.

MR. LYNCH: There is a Bally production number

BY MR. LYNCH: 24

on it.

Now, you testified about Exhibit 88, which I place before

1 you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Mr. Nieman, Exhibit 88 contains the sales of

Bally pinball machines by year, extending back to 1974,

correct?

A Yes. sir.

Q In 1974, '75, and '76, those are all -- electromechanical games don't bear a -- let's just put it that way.

Electromechanical games do not have an asterisk in front of them?

- A. For the purposes of this report, we asterisked the electronic games. So it is safe to assume --
- Q The microprocessor-controlled games?
- A The electronic formatted pin games. So ones without asterisks would be electromechanical format games.
- There are wide variations in the sales of games from game to game, Mr. Nieman, is that correct?
- A It depends what you mean by "wide."
- Q Let's take as an example the electromechanical game Captain Fantastic, sold in 1976.

THE COURT: What exhibit is this?

MR. LYNCH: 88, your Honor. It starts with a letter from Welsh & Katz.

MR. TONE: That is an electronic game, Mr. Lynch. That correction was made on Exhibit 88.

24

 $16-2^{25}$

BY MR. LYNCH:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Well, let's take, for example, then Evil Knievel.

No, I am sorry.

Was Captain Fantastic an electromechanical or an electronic game?

A In the coin-operated version it was electromechanical.

In the home version it was electronic, and it was produced both ways under the same name.

Q I see.

A It is indicated twice, one with the home indication afterwards, at the lower --

Q I see. So then I do want you to refer to Captain
Fantastic in the pin-operated --

A Coin-operated.

Q -- I mean the coin-operated version.

Now, that sold 14,685 versions or machines in 1976, correct?

A That is correct, sir.

Q Other machines in that same year did not sell as much?

A That is correct, sir.

Q From a marketing perspective, how do you account for that?

A It was probably two obvious factors.

In 1976 in the electromechanical we were still building games in the various electromechanical formats.

One we call a single-player game, two, a two-player game, and three, a four-player game.

As an example, I could indicate on the machines you have here in the courtroom.

- Q These are two-player games?
- A The Flicker game would be a two-player game, which means it holds two scores simultaneously and two people can play simultaneously.

The Hot Tip is a four-player game with four scores.

In the electromechanical we additionally made what we called a single player, which would only have one player indication and could only be played by one person at a time.

That alone would indicate the size of the run. Single players sold a certain segment, two players another. The most popular, of course, was the four-player models. So they naturally would have larger production runs.

Secondly, the individual model that you pointed out was a game in which an extensive amount of promotional activity was involved around that particular game, and it, I think, reflected additional sales for that year.

Q But game success depended on game play features, did

it not?

A Game play features are certainly a facet as to whether a game is successful or not.

Q If, for example, you would compare in 1976 Hocus-Pocus and Old Chicago, one sold twice as much as the other.

A I show Old Chicago at 7,140, and I show Hocus-Pocus at 3,001.

Again recalling as best I can, I believe

Hocus-Pocus was a two-player game. Old Chicago was a fourplayer game.

So initially a four-player game would have a larger marketing segment that it would appeal to, and our four-player runs were typically larger than two-player or single player runs.

Q Refer then to 1979, two electronic formatted games,
Star Trek and Voltan. Compare those two.

Star Trek sold about 16,000?

A Yes, sir.

• • •

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

120

- Q Voltan sold 351 games.
- A. I am sorry. I show 346.
- 3 Q I think if you add the other years, it comes --
- 4 A Okay.

Voltan was only prototyped. It was never produced. The prototype run was built -- was put out. Its income-earning capability indicated it was not a game capable or should not be built. It did not have the appeal necessary to go into production.

- Q It did not have the player appeal, correct?
- A It did not have the income earning potential.
 - Q If you were to compare these electromechanical games with the other games, the later electronic games, isn't it a fact that there were a number of play features that were incorporated in electronic games that were not incorporated in the electromechanical games?
 - A It is my understanding that a designer with the use of a microprocessor can achieve certain play features he had not prior to achieved in electromechanical.
 - Those play features include, for example, playfield memory, correct?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- They include a high score to date on the machine, correct?
- 25 A. That is my understanding, yes.

Q What other play features can be incorporated using a microprocessor that was never incorporated in electromechanical games?

- A. Well, it is a matter of what you call features. Personally, I call sound and visuals part of the game play features.
- Q It is a fact, isn't it, that the electromechanical games had thumps and chimes and bells, correct?
- A Mechanically produced chimes and what we call a kicker, which I think is what you meant by thump.
- Q A kicker, yes.

The solid state games could reproduce what might be referred to as space age sounds, correct?

- A Today they do, not initially. They pretty much mimicked the electromechanical initially but today produce a far more sophisticated range of sounds and music.
- Q So across the spectrum of the games that are reflected in your summary, there are a number of new game features that were incorporated, correct?
- A Typically a game would have a varying number of features from one model to another, some of them more popular than others.
- Even right at the beginning the new solid state games incorporated lit-up displays as opposed to real displays, correct?
- A That is correct, sir.

- ir 1
- 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6

 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10

 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25

- They incorporated things like playfield memory and high score to date, isn't that correct?
- Not initially. Those features evolved into the electronic pin.
- They were not present in the early electronic pins?
- Well, the first playfield to my knowledge that had memory recall was a game we built called Eight Ball, and that was
 - (Brief interruption.)

built -- well, I will tell you when.

- BY MR. LYNCH:
- Now, during --
- Eight Ball -- I am sorry.
 - THE COURT: He had not finished his last answer.
- THE WITNESS: Eight Ball was sold in '77 and '78.
- So it was probably a good 12 months after the first electronics,
- before a designer exploited, if you will, that capability of
- the machine.
- BY MR. LYNCH:
- Let me show you Exhibit 12-L, Mr. Nieman.
- Defendants' Exhibit 12-L is a summary of Bally Manufacturing Corporation's selling and general administrative expenses.
- I want you to focus on the fourth row, advertising and promotion expenses.
 - That reflects that in 1977, '78 and '79,

15

16

17

18

being the first year involving major sales of electronic pin
ball games, solid state pinball games or microprocessor
controlled pinball games, that there was a substantial increase

4 in advertising and promotional expenses.

- 5 A According to this record, yes, it would.
- 6 Q Is that consistent with your memory, also, Mr. Nieman?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q Those promotional expenses increased through '78 and '79, 9 correct?
- 10 According to this record, yes.
- 11 Q I show you what has been marked as Exhibit 12-E, an advertisement which appeared, I believe, in late 1978 in Replay magazine, the September 1978 Replay magazine.
 - Do you recognize Exhibit 12-E, Mr. Nieman, as an ad of Bally Manufacturing Company?
 - A. I have a feeling it is part of an ad because I doubt if the verb is "Midway are number one." I think there was a page here that said something prior --
- 19 Q I think it said, "Bally ad."
- A. -- to make it a verb with two nouns, to make Bally and
 Midway, or something like that.
- So there is another page. I think it is --
- 23 Q It is incomplete?
- 24 A. It is incomplete, yes.
- I want you to refer to the part you have before you,

•

Mr. Nieman, in the middle column. It indicates that this vertical integration -- well, it speaks about Midway Manufacturing and Bally Consumer Products Division both operating together, correct?

A Can I read it?

(Brief interruption.)

(Brief interruption.)

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Okay, I've read it, sir.

BY MR. LYNCH:

Q It indicates in that paragraph, referring to the Midway Bally consumer products combination, that quote: "This vertical integration is the competitive edge that accounted for Bally's market lead on major competitive pin manufacturers."

Correct?

- A It says that, yes, sir.
- Q Now, this vertical integration that is referred to here, can you explain that?
- A I'm not sure -- I didn't write the copy.
- Q Well, you are familiar with the organization and that vertical integration, are you not?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And this is a marketing advertisement put out by Bally in a trade journal, is it not?
- A It's an advertisement, and because it's put in a trade journal, it would be reviewed by the marketing department.
- Q So Bally was promoting the fact that it did have this vertically integrated capacity, correct?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And it at that time ascribed that vertical integration as being responsible for the success, correct?

A Yes, sir.

1

2

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

operations.

- Q Now, at the same time, over the period 1974 through
- 3 | 1979 or '80, what did Bally do by way of integrating itself
- 4 | further all the way into the arcades?
- 5 A What period, sir?
- 6 Q Beginning in 1974, 1975.
- A And you're referencing what did Bally, the pinball
- 8 entity do?
- 9 Q What did Bally Manufacturing Corporation do?
- A To -- why don't -- if you could repeat the question again.
- Q Yes. Commencing sometime in the mid-1970s did Bally undertake to change or to acquire arcades?
 - A At some point in the '70s -- and again I can't reference the exact time frame -- Bally acquired a small arcade operating chain, and from that began to get into or expand the arcade
- Now, that meant Bally actually owned the arcades where these pinball machines were placed, correct?
- 20 A In those locations that were operated under Bally, yes.
 - Q And over the years from the mid '70s to the present has Bally expanded the number of locations that it owns or arcade locations?
 - A Again, sir, I can't -- you say mid '70s, and I'm not sure what the time frame is for the original acquisition of

2

4

3

6

5

7

9

11

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

22

24

25

the first chain.

Q I show you what has been marked as Exhibit 12-P, Mr. Nieman, a copy of the 1974 annual report of Bally.

MR. TONE: I don't think we have that, Mr. Lynch.

BY MR. LYNCH:

- Q In 1974, I refer you to the third page of Exhibit 12-P, Mr. Nieman, in the "President's Report to the Stockholders."
- A Yes, sir.
- Q In the middle column it indicates, about halfway down the paragraph beginning "Bally continued to expand as an operator of quality amusement centers, and acquired American Amusement, Inc."

Is that the first acquisition?

- A That's the reference -- the arcade chain I was referencing.
- Q Now, it indicates that even prior to that Bally was an operator because it indicates this was an expansion.
- A Yes, sir.
- Q So Bally was integrated all the way down to the arcade level, correct?
- A In 1974 when it acquired American Amusements, it moved into the arcade operation business.
- Q And do you know if during this time period D. Gottlieb & Company ever integrated into the arcade business?
- A I don't know of any operation by Gottlieb in the arcade

industry.

- Q How about Williams?
- A I'm not sure. I don't know of -- I've heard rumors to the fact that they were looking at or doing some things, but, no, sir, I don't know for a fact of any operation that is owned by them.
- Q Now, over the years from 1974 to the present Bally has expanded its Aladdin's Castle and other arcade business, has it not?
- A Well, Aladdin's Castle is the arcade business.
- Q I didn't know if they did it under any other names as well.
- A Well, Aladdin's Castle is a subsidiary name. They actually operate under various names, but Aladdin's Castle has more locations today than they did in 1974.
- Q And over that time period hasn't Bally also gotten into the business of amusement parks?
- A Yes, sir. It's my understanding they acquired a company that was involved in the operation of amusement parks.
- Q Six Flags?
- A I believe that's the name, sir.
- Q That is also an outlet for the play of video games and -all coin-operated games, is it not?
- A Contained within those park environments are arcades.
 - Q How about the restaurant business?

A Bally acquired a chain of restaurants -- and again, the year references I don't have, but it was recently -- in which they are being, approximately seven or eight have been converted to date to both restaurants and have game operations contained within the restaurants.

- Q One of the features of these types of restaurants is a game arcade.
- A A game arcade.

THE COURT: What's the name of it?

THE WITNESS: That chain is called Bally's

Tom Foolery.

BY MR. LYNCH:

- Q Now, does Bally also operate routes in certain locations?
- A Not that I know of, sir.
- Q How about arcade game distribution, did Bally ever undertake to do that?
- A Bally has a subsidiary called Bally Distribution Company, and its principal business is the distribution of Bally and other manufacturers of coin-operated games to operators.
- Q So Bally distributes, for purposes of the Court's understanding, not only its own games, but Gottlieb games and Williams games. Is that correct?
- A That's my understanding.
- Q And Bally is one of the largest distributors in that particular industry, is it not?

A That's my understanding.

Q Exhibit 12-E, the ad, mentions that, does it not? It indicates that:

"It's all part of our outstanding worldwide distribution network, a network that puts you in touch with the parts and the service you need when you need them."

In the right-hand column.

A That -- I don't understand that as reference to the distributing operation. That's reference to some of the service available from Bally as a manufacturer directly to operators.

It offers a toll-free number and a kit that assists in the troubleshooting function of coin-operated games.

THE COURT: Mr. Lynch, let's break at this point for lunch. And we'll resume at 2:00 o'clock.

(Proceedings recessed from 12:15 p.m. to 2:00 p.m.)

```
BALLY MANUFACTURING CORPORATION,
                                                   Docket No.
1
                                                   78 C 2246
   a Delaware corporation,
2
             Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,
3
                                                  Chicago, Illinois
        vs.
                                                   January 9, 1984
4
   D. GOTTLIEB & CO., a corporation,
                                                   2:30 p.m.
   WILLIAMS ELECTRONICS, INC., a
5
   corporation, and ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL
   CORPORATION.
6
              Defendants/Counterplaintiffs.
7
8
                       VOLUME V-B
                   TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
9
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN F. GRADY
10
   TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY: MR. JEROLD B. SCHNAYER
                             MR. MELVIN M. GOLDENBERG
11
   APPEARANCES:
12
   For the Plaintiff:
13
   Counterdefendant:
                             MR. KATZ
14
                             MR. SCHNAYER
                             MR. TONE
15
                             MS. SIGEL
16
17
    For the Defendants/
    CounterPlaintiffs:
                             MR. LYNCH
18
                             MR. HARDING
                             MR. GOLDENBERG
19
                             MR. ELLIOTT
                             MR. RIFKIN
20
                             MR. LEACH
                             MR. COTTLIEB
21
    Court Reporter:
                             LAURA M. BRENNAN
22
                             219 South Dearborn Street, Room 1918
                             Chicago, Illinois 60604
23
24
```

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

THE CLERK: 78 C 2246, Bally v. Gottlieb, case on trial.

MR. LYNCH: May it please the Court, your Honor, I have a book for the Court with all these exhibits in it.

THE COURT: Oh, good.

MR. LYNCH: There has been some concern expressed by counsel that perhaps the Court would be left with some exhibits that never get admitted. These, of course, were prepared in advance and because of the nature of our case at this time, we will ask the Court to skip around in them.

I will check with Mr. Martinez and make sure that eventually the Court does not have anything that is not evidence, but this might be helpful to you.

THE COURT: I promise you that I won't read any schematics that are not admitted in evidence, and that if I should do it inadvertently, it couldn't possibly hurt anything.

MR. TONE: All right.

MR. LYNCH: That, your Honor, if you will look at the spine, defendants' exhibits are identified by those blue stickers, and that is 10 to 16.

THE COURT: Fine.

MR. LYNCH: With all the little letters that accompany each of the numbers.

THE COURT: Good. That will save us reaching over the bench.

2

3 4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

22

21

24

25

733 MR. TONE: We will have something like that, too, your Honor, when we get it organized. I wasn't quite sure how to put it together, and I was reluctant to do it until we had some exhibits admitted.

THOMAS S. NIEMAN, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

CROSS EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. LYNCH:

Mr. Nieman, when we left off, I was addressing the organization of Bally to some extent, and I believe you had referred to the 1974 annual report, which indicated to you that that was the time when the Aladdin's Castle acquisition by Bally was first made.

I believe it was American Amusements that became Aladdin's Castle, correct?

That is correct, sir.

Those are arcade centers, correct, maintained in supermarket malls?

Typically shopping center mall arcades, whose principal business is that of the operation of games.

1975 there was an annual report, but I am going to refer you to 1976, Exhibit 12-R, Mr. Nieman.

In the 1976 annual report, Defendants' Exhibit 12-R, I would like to refer you to what appears to be the

fourth page of the exhibit, a part of Mr. O.Donnell's, or the Chairman's, message to the stockholders.

In the left-hand column do you see a paragraph Indicated "Equipment Operations"?

I am sorry --

A. The paragraph starts with that line?

r2

on the third page of Mr. O'Donnell's report to the stock-

1 2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

It says that:

BY THE WITNESS:

"Marketing of our flipper pinball machines was further aided by the passage in 1976 of local

THE COURT: The third page starting with the one with his picture on it?

12-R. I'm sorry. I want you to be on the third page,

MR. LYNCH: Yes, the one with his picture on it, that very page, your Honor.

BY MR. LYNCH:

holders.

Q The President's Report to the Stockholders.

I do not seem to see page numbers on this reproduction. That is my difficulty.

- A Okay, I think I have that.
- Q Do you have that?
- A This page?
- Q Yes.

In the first full paragraph in the right-hand column, there is an indication that, "Marketing of flipper pinball machines was added by the passage of local ordinances in Chicago and New York," correct?

MR. TONE: It is "aided."

MR. LYNCH: "Aided."

4 5

ordinances permitting their operation in New York
City. Chicago passed a similar ordinance in early
1978."

- Q Prior to the time of those ordinances, those two large markets were closed to pinball, isn't that correct?
- A That is true, sir.
- Q Isn't it a fact that, therefore, the passage of those ordinances had a major contributing effect to the expansion of the market in 1977 and 1978?
- A They had an effect on the expansion of the market. Whether the adjective, major, applies or not, I am not sure.
- Q You are not sure as the marketing man for Bally whether it was major or not to open up Chicago and New York?
- A Again, sir, I am not sure your term, major, and mine would necessarily agree.

THE COURT: Can you give us any estimate of the percentage of your sales which are in Chicago and New York?

THE WITNESS: It would be very difficult because the sale to a distributor in Chicago or New York does not necessarily mean that it will eventually be sold within those marketplaces. We probably sold equipment to a distributor in Boston who sold quantities into New York, also, and viceversa.

So it is difficult to measure what the impact opening had specifically. Both of them did, in fact, add

sales.

THE COURT: Just direct sales to Chicago and New York?

THE WITNESS: I would not know the number offhand.

I could probably compute it, though, and have it available if necessary.

BY MR. LYNCH:

- Q Well, the fact of the matter is that the entire pinball market, though, was expanded by the availability of these two markets to all of the pinball manufacturers, correct?
- A Certainly the marketplace was expanded and adding to major metropolitan cities as far as where locations of equipment could take place:
- Q And that at least had a discernible impact?
- A Certainly discernible would be applicable.
- Q That impact was felt principally in 1977 and 1978?
- A The Chicago ordinance was passed in '77 and impact in '77 and '78 numbers probably, and the New York ordinance was passed in '76. They both had an immediate impact on sales.
- It would not be delayed for any reason.
- Q Those two occasions coincided with Bally's introduction of solid state pinball, correct?
- A The New York ordinance was passed prior to our beginning of sales of electronic pins. I believe we started the fourth quarter '76, and I think the New York ordinance

Nieman - Cross

went through in May of '76, the spring, if not that month.

The Chicago ordinance, of course, in '77 -we were, in fact, selling electronic pinballs.

2

4

3

6

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

I'd like to refer you now to Exhibit 12-S, the 1977 Bally a annual report, Mr. Nieman.

I'd like to refer you to the fourth page of that exhibit, by once again -- it appears to be page 2 down in the lower left-hand corner, a very small 2 appears.

- I've got it.
- This exhibit, Exhibit 12-S, the 1977 annual report for Bally, referring to the "Equipment Operations" paragraph in the left-hand column, indicates that your Aladdin's Castle was expanding. Correct?
- Would you like me to read it?
- It was expanding to 109 locations.
- Yes, sir.
- Now, those locations, those Aladdin's Castle locations, are locations that are run by Bally Manufacturing, correct?
- Yes, they're run by the management of Aladdin's Castle, which is a subsidiary of Bally.
- Now I'd like to refer you to the page marked 8 in Exhibit 12-S. At that point it indicates that:

"The success in '77 appears to be continuing unabated in the first part of '78 due to technology."

And there there's a mention of electromechan -the switch from electromechanical to electronic pinball machines. Correct?

Yes; sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

superior play appeal, providing more sophisticated scoring systems and other unique features not previously possible." Correct?

It indicates that, "The Bally electronic machines offer

Yes, sir, that's what it says.

Now, Mr. Nieman, it is possible for a player, playing a pinball game, to discern the difference between an, electronic game and an electromechanical game. Is that not correct?

Yes, sir, it is.

And when you did your Bow and Arrow analysis, as reflected by documents 378 and 379, it was possible for the people walking into the arcade to discern a difference between the electromechanical Bow and Arrow and the solid state Bow and Isn't that correct? Arrow.

They would discern as long as they looked at the machines and saw digital readouts versus mechanical drum numbers.

So there was a difference in the visual impact of the game.

Well, I'm not saying graphically they were different. The art work on both machines were identical.

The only visual difference would be, one would have the mechanical scoring reels, the other would have an electronic digital readout.

And were there any other differences at all with respect to the game?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 A. In respect to a player?
 - Q Yes.
 - A. Perceiving a difference?
 - Q Yes. High score to date?
 - A I'm not sure that that feature had yet been put into the machine.

As prototypes, it might not have carried all the electronic features that would eventually become available. And I couldn't say for sure that it carried a high-score-to-date.

- Q Did it have an attract mode, other than just all the lamps being lit?
- A If you could define attract mode as how you call it --
- Attract mode where the lamps would be cycled in some type of pattern.
- A. I don't believe this machine carried that feature.
- Q But there was a discernible difference, correct?
- A. Again, the displays would have been the keys --
- Q. If there was no discernible difference between the games, what would you say would be the reason for the electromechanical game receiving less play than the solid state game?
- A I think there's a perceived feel of an electronic game versus an electromechanical.

Because of the solenoids and the kickers and their resetting coils in the electromechanical, it has more

of a vibrating mechanical type feel.

An electronic machine didn't have to have quite all the hardware one had. And when it recycled, it didn't have to kick up every one. It could return to a position and the vibration factors, things like that, you could feel a difference as you played the game.

The flipper assembly --

Well, did you think -- and this feel on the solid state game was a better feel to --

MR. TONE: Your HOnor, I'm not sure the witness finished his answer. It seemed to me he was interrupted.

BY THE WITNESS:

I was -- the only other comment to make was, in the flipper assembly itself, which is I think the most important from a feel standpoint, because you're on those buttons and that's how you activate the flipper and move the ball around, the electronic machine seemed to be less vibration and a smoother stroke when it hit the ball.

BY MR. LYNCH:

- Q Seemed to be a smoother stroke?
- 21 A. Yes.
 - And this created a preference in the players for the solid state games?
 - A I think it's one of many elements again that obviously caused the player preference because of an increased play

- 1 in revenues.
- 2 Q Well, what were those elements?
- Well, if we could define them in black and white, we'd have put them in every game, and every game would have been
- 5 a rock solid hit.
 - Q Precisely. And in fact, was Bow and Arrow itself -- was Bow and Arrow itself -- a good game for Bally? The electromechanical Bow and Arrow?
 - A I think the electromechanical Bow and Arrow would have been considered a good game, in comparison to other games.
 - Q Can you refer to -- do you still have Plaintiff's Exhibit 88?
- 13 A. No, I don't, sir.
 - Q I place before you Plaintiff's Exhibit 88. It appears that Bow and Arrow, if you refer to 1976, sold 2,666 games.

 Is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.

T4 18

- Q That is the least of any of the games brought out in 1976 appearing on that fourth page, correct?
- A Yes, sir, on that fourth page, yes, sir.
- Q On the third page it would appear that Bow and Arrow sold less than every game, except perhaps the Freedom game.
- A Yes, sir.
- Q So it wouldn't appear that Bow and Arrow was an outstanding performer for Bally?
- A From a sales standpoint, no, sir.
- Q At the time that the data of 379 was undertaken, that data compares -- correct me if I am wrong, Mr. Nieman -- income from an electromechanical Bow and Arrow game with a solid state Bow and Arrow game, correct?
- A Yes, it is the electronic Bow and Arrow versus the electromechanical Bow and Arrow.
- Q At the time that the electronic Bow and Arrow was introduced, the other Bow and Arrow had been in that arcade for some period of time, correct?
- A I am not sure. They had been in prior to, and what the exact time was, how far in advance, I am not sure.
- But certainly with some of those, because the electronic Bow and Arrow was introduced all through 1976, as reflected in Exhibit 79, certainly in some of those instances you would agree that the electromechanical Bow and Arrow had been in there for some period of time prior to that, correct?

- 1
- Well, the installation began of the electronic ones in 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25

- January through February and some were installed as late as March.
 - Some were installed as late as October.
- I think the majority -- I am paging through quickly, and I keep seeing Februaries.
- I have no way of telling if the sale was in front of or behind of, or they possibly both went in together.
- Do you have any knowledge of how they were displayed? Were they displayed one next to another?
- They were asked to be placed near each other for the head-to-head comparison.
- Yes, but do you know how they were placed in each of these arcades?
- I did not visit the arcades, so I don't know.
- The operators at each of these arcades, they knew this was an electronic Bow and Arrow, didn't they?
- The majority of the locations are Aladdin's Castle locations, which are corporate subsidiaries.
- So they are corporate subsidiary locations, correct?
- They were part of the Aladdin's Castle chain and subsidiary locations.
- The operator then would know that this was a new solid state computer game, correct?
- He would know that he had a machine that was there on

test. It was a prototype machine.

Q But he would know that?

- A The manager of the store would know that, yes.
- Q And it is conceivable that he told the patrons that, too, "This is a brand-new microprocessor computer game," isn't it?
- A Conceivable, yes. I have no way of knowing.
- Q You have no way of knowing, precisely.

How about Exhibit 378? Exhibit 378 compares this new computer game, this new computerized Bow and Arrow game placed in Aladdin's Castle with the general background of all pin games in those units, correct?

- A That is correct, sir..
- Q The background of all the pin games in those units would include games that were several years old, correct?
- A I am not sure how old Aladdin's Castle kept equipment. They usually rotated. They were considered fairly aggressive in their purchases and attempted to maintain their rooms in an up-to-date fashion, but the exact age of the balance of the equipment I wouldn't know.

I would have to go back and look at the reports and find out the individual piece and research when they were installed or when they were purchased.

- Q I see. So you don't know what the age cross-section --
- A We felt it was representative of our case in the United States.

1 Q 2 th 3 re 4 is

Q As time progressed beyond Bow and Arrow, various of these other features referred to in the Bally 1977 annual report, 12-S, did eventually find their way into Bally games, isn't that correct?

6 re
7 fo

5

A The play features I think we spoke of before, memory and recall, high score to date, features like that, eventually found their way into the designs of later Bally electronic formatted pinball machines.

9

Q We were referring to Page 8 of Exhibit 12-S, Mr. Nieman. That is the 1977 annual report.

11

10

Could you turn to the next page, Page 9?

12

A · Sir, is this the page?

13

I believe it is, sir, yes.

14 15 I just want to call your attention to the

16

paragraph at the upper left-hand column, "Play Appeal," and in that paragraph it indicates, "The most important ingredient to success lies in the creation of products with play appeal," and

17 18

that is referring to the pinball market.

19

Would you agree with that statement?

20

A Using the term "most important" is a very strong one.

21

I would say it ranks extremely high.

22

23

24

25

.

Now, there are also references in here to the fact that the electronic machines had self-diagnostics of some self-test devices and thereby were easier to repair.

Do you have any recollection of that impact on the marketplace?

- Mell, the self-diagnostics in the electronic flipper machine were welcomed by operators whose job it was to then repair equipment. It was perceived as a quicker, more efficient way to troubleshoot fine problems and correct problems via the self-diagnostics.
- Q Is it fair to say that what self-diagnostics means is that a computer gives you the ability to ask it what is wrong when something is wrong with the game, and it can give an indication of the state of disrepair of the game?
- A Well, it was not quite as sophisticated as you being able to ask it. You could walk it through a series of steps, and if there was a problem within that given step, it would indicate it via the displays.
- Q I would like to refer you now, Mr. Nieman, to Exhibit 12-T, the 1978 Bally annual report.

I still cannot find the page. I believe it is page 8 in the upper left-hand corner under the staple, unfortunately. There is a reference to Aladdin's Castle expanding to 127 locations.

Do you know how many locations Bally has now

- 1 of Aladdin's Castle arcades?
- 2 A I do not know the exact number, but it is an approximate
- 3 | 450, 460 locations.
- 4 | Q 450 or 460?
- 5 A. Approximately.
- Now, the diagnostics that we referred to just a moment ago, that is achieved in the microprocessor through programming correct?
- 9 A I am not sure how it is achieved.
- 10 Q During this time -- strike that.
 - We have talked about Bally's position in the arcade market and the distribution market. The figures that you have quoted the Court about the overall sales, do they also include foreign sales?
- 15 A. In which figure of sales, sir?
- The pinball sales, the sales of pinball games, not the Bow and Arrow, the unit sales, the overall unit sales.
- 18 A. This report, sir?
- 19 0. Yes.

12

13

- 20 A. Foreign sales were included in this.
- 21 Q Now, those foreign sales are also affected through
- 22 | Bally distributors in foreign countries, are they not?
- 23 A. In certain foreign countries, yes, sir.
- 24 Q Bally does indeed have an international network of distributors, does it not?

- A. Like every factory we have international distribution of our product, yes.
- Q Over the years, isn't it the case that Bally introduced a number of other player appeal features to its pinball games over the years 1974 to the present?
- A. Well, I would like to think that we constantly improved our equipment. If you have a specific one, I would --
 - Q How about super size tables?
 - A. We were not the first to introduce a pinball playfield that was deviated from what -- if one would refer to it as standard.

5-2 12

۷,

Nieman - Cross

dard

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

- You were not the first to do so? Q
- Α No, sir.
- You did eventually come out with one? Q
- Yes. sir.
- That was a play appeal feature, correct?
- At the time, we felt so.
- Now, how about electronic sounds or voices or noises in connection with the game? What did Bally do in that regard?
- Well, we tried to take full advantage of the microprocessor and what its capabilities were in the synthesis of both music, sound, and speech.
- Was Bally the first to come out with a talking game?
- It is not my understanding that it was first. I believe another manufacturer had a game that actually had synthesized speech.
- But Bally also came out with that feature?
- Bally eventually had a game that had synthesized speech.
- The games, the sales, that are reflected in the exhibit, the exhibit you just referred to --

That number is what, Mr. Nieman?

- It is 88.
- Exhibit 88, those are sales figures that reflect sales of games with super-size tables and the synthesized sounds, correct?

A That is correct, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

. .

20

21

22

23

24

25

pages of Exhibit 12-U, the 1979 Bally Annual Report, and I would like you to refer, sir, in particular to the 10-K, the last two pages of the Exhibit 12-U which I gave you.

There is a listing of parents and subsidiaries

Now, I am going to show you a copy, Mr. Nieman, of some

There is a listing of parents and subsidiaries domestically and in foreign countries, correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do a number of these companies listed here serve these functions that you have addressed of distributing pinball as well as perhaps other things both domestically and in foreign countries?

A Some of them are involved in the distribution of coinoperated amusement games.

Q Do the foreign subsidiaries all involve themselves in assisting in the distribution of Bally games, Bally pin games, pinball games?

No, sir.

O Which ones do not?

Bally Australia, Limited does not distribute our product.

O But the others do?

A No. I am going through it, sir.

Q Oh.

(Brief interruption.)

BY THE WITNESS:

A Bally Manufacturing Company Ireland, Limited and Irish Arcades are not involved in distribution.

- Q But they are involved in pinball manufacture and usage, correct?
- A No, sir.
- Q They are not involved in manufacture?
- A Manufacture in Ireland, no, sir.

Irish Arcades, I believe, operates games, and I would have to believe that in their operation, if they do, in fact, operate a full range of games, our pinball products are probably among what they do operate. But it is an operating company as opposed to distribution.

on 2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20 21

23

22

25

24

THE COURT: Mr. Lynch, I do not have a list of these companies.

MR. LYNCH: It is at the end of 12-U, your Honor.

THE COURT: 12-U is the --

MR. LYNCH: It is the last page.

May I substitute this 12-U for that one?

THE COURT: Okay.

BY THE WITNESS:

A Bally Sales, Limited, Ireland is not involved in the sale of Bally coin-operated pinball equipment.

Gunter Wulff - Apparatebau is not involved in the distribution of coin-operated pinball equipment.

BY MR. LYNCH:

I show you what now has been marked as Exhibit 12-B,
Mr. Nieman, a copy of the United States Patent 4,198,051 to
a Mr. Marion F. Bracha and assigned to Bally Manufacturing
Company.

Have you ever heard of a Bally patent owned by Mr. Bracha?

A Yes, sir. I have heard reference to a patent referred to as the Bracha patent.

Q Isn't it your understanding that the commercial games of Bally which you referred to the commercial solid state games of Bally, referred to in Exhibit 88, are covered by this Bracha patent?

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TONE: I object as beyond the scope of direct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Well, I do not know that it is beyond the scope of the direct, but I do not know that this witness' understanding would be probative on the point. I think you are asking for a technological answer.

MR. LYNCH: No. I am asking for what the understanding is at Bally, the understanding --

THE COURT: What the banter is at Bally?

MR. LYNCH: The general knowledge.

Mr. Anderson was already examined on that, and that is the understanding, that they have their own patent on their own system.

THE COURT: Mr. Tone.

MR. TONE: My objection is still, your Honor, that it is beyond the scope of direct. We are going to prove later the technological side of the commercial success point.

__

THE COURT: Well, I think that certainly the tenor of the direct was that all this commercial success is attributable to plaintiff's patent --

MR. TONE: That was, your Honor, I think --

THE COURT: -- that is, the '411 patent. Otherwise the testimony would have been immaterial.

MR. TONE: That's right. But we haven't connected it up yet, because this witness isn't able to say whether it's due to the patent.

THE COURT: Well, I think the scope of a direct examination includes what is implied.

MR. TONE: All right.

THE COURT: And implied in this witness' testimony is the proposition that the '411 patent is the generator of this commercial success.

Now --

MR. TONE: I didn't go into that directly with the witness, your Honor, because, as I conceived it, this witness is only competent to testify about the sales and what kinds of machines were sold, and not about whether a particular kind -- or whether the patent covers the machine.

THE COURT: Well, now we're talking about a different question, namely, competency. And competency, I suppose, depends on the nature of the testimony.

Now, he's competent to testify to what the

scuttlebutt is around the office. The question is, is it material what the scuttlebutt is around the office?

Let me hear you on that.

MR. TONE: Yes. All right. I think it is not material, your Honor, what the scuttlebutt is around the office.

Your Honor will hear testimony from expert witnesses on whether the patent covers the electronic games that were sold by Bally, and I think that's the controlling thing.

The scuttlebutt is irrelevant. It doesn't prove -- your Honor could not find infringement or non-infringement on the basis of scuttlebutt.

THE COURT: I have problems with the scuttlebutt, Mr. Lynch, because it's so difficult to pin down.

MR. LYNCH: Let me pursue it another way, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

BY MR. LYNCH:

- Q. Has the Bracha patent ever been mentioned in any news releases of Bally?
- A I believe I can recall a press release that referenced the issuance of the, what you're referring to as the Bracha patent.
 - Q And that press release indicating the issuance of the

Bracha patent in fact indicated that the Bracha patent was a patent that covered Bally's coin-operated pinball games.

I don't remember it that well.

Isn't that correct?

THE COURT: This isn't exactly a matter of best evidence, but I suppose if you had the press release it would help.

MR. LYNCH: It was never produced, your Honor, I understand it exists, but I can't get a copy.

If I could supboena it.

MR. TONE: Your Honor, this is the first I've heard of it. I don't know whether it exists or not. If we had it and it was asked for, I assume we would have produced it.

MR. LYNCH: The discovery closed, I am told, your Honor, before the Nutting patent came out -- the Bracha patent came out. Not before the Nutting patent came out.

BY MR. LYNCH:

Q I show you an excerpt from the Wednesday, June 4, 1980 Wall Street Journal.

THE COURT: Now, you certainly can't impeach that source.

BY MR. LYNCH:

That excerpt has been marked as Exhibit 12-F.

Does that refresh your recollection?

MR. LYNCH: That should be in your book, your Honor,

THE COURT: This exhibit?

MR. LYNCH: I think it is, but I don't know.

THE COURT: Probably is.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Sir, it doesn't distinguish by calling it a Bracha patent or anybody else's patent, so it would -- I can't say for sure that it references the Bracha patent and not some version of another patent.

BY MR. LYNCH:

- Q Do you know of any other patent at Bally that would have been characterized as broadening the claims of the original patent granted in June 1978?
- A I know of no other one, no. But I don't know if this one, either, would apply.
- Q I show you a copy of what has been marked as Exhibit 12-D, U. S. Patent 4,408,762, Mr. Nieman.

That patent has to do with a lighting scheme, an infinity back lighting scheme for the upper part of a cabinet of a pinball game, does it not?

- A. Yes, for the back box illumination.
- And you are aware of the fact that that infinity
 lighting scheme was used in Bally commercial games, correct?
- A Yes, sir, I am.
- Q It was used in particular in the game Xenon, X-e-n-o-n?

7.60

A The one I thought of, sir, was a different one. For the life of me I'm not sure Xenon did have it or not.

If you had a brochure, a picture, I'm sure I

could --

Nieman - Cross

- Q But it has been included in a number of games?
- A Yes, I thought of a different one, though.
- Q The games in which it was included are games that are included in Exhibit 88, correct?
- A Yes, sir, that is correct.
- Q I show you a copy of U.S. Patent 4,354,680, marked as Exhibit 12-C.

That has to do with a crossover tube or an elevated ball pathway on the playfield, correct?

A Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Has that been used on Bally commercial games?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q That is a player appeal feature, correct?
- A We certainly thought so when we put it in.
- Q The games on which this feature of the patent,
 Exhibit 12-C, are included are games that are included on
 Exhibit 88, correct?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q I also show you a copy of U.S. Patent 4,257,605, a Bally patent having to do with a drop target play feature.

Has that been included on commercial Bally games?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Those games are also included in the sales figures reflected in Exhibit 88, right?

A Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

MR. LYNCH: May it please the Court, your Honor, I will also mark the initial 1974 article, about which I examined Mr. Nieman, quoting Mr. Nieman on the second page, as Defendants' Trial Exhibit BB.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. LYNCH: 12-BB, I am sorry, your Honor.

No further questions, your Honor.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Q Mr. Nieman, do you know Mr. Norman Clark?
- A Norm Clark, yes, I do. .
- Q Who is he?
- A Mr. Clark is an employee at Bally.
- Q Was he an employee at Bally when you joined the company?
- A No, I don't believe so.
- 16 | Q When did he join the company?
 - A I don't know the exact year. I would venture mid-'70s, but I am not sure.
 - Q What capacity does he have at Bally, if you know?

MR. TONE: 'Objection on the ground that is beyond the scope of direct. Mr. Clark, as Mr. Goldenberg knows, is our next witness.

I don't know what this is all about, but it is certainly beyond the scope of the direct.

THE COURT: I can't tell until I know what the

19

21

20

22

23 24

.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

tenor of the questions is.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, if I may, the point

MR. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, if I may, the point here is this commercial success argument; that in addition --

THE COURT: Why don't you go ahead and ask a question, and then I can probably tell whether it is beyond the scope.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Q Mr. Clark was and is a pinball game designer from Bally, is he not?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q He has designed a number of games that appear on this list of Bally games about which you have testified, isn't that true?
- A Mr. Clark heads up the pinball design and has many designers who work for him. What amount of influence he has with any one particular design as opposed to the individual designer whose project it is, I am not quite clear on.

It is a cumulative effect, and to call it

Norm Clark's design versus an individual designer's design

I think would be difficult for me to respond to that.

- Q But he heads up the design group?
- A Yes, he does.
- Q As far as you know, he joined the company in the mid-'70s or something past that?
- A My best recollection is somewhere in the mid-70s, and

I apologize; I don't know the year he was hired at Bally.

All right, sir.

Do you know the previous employer of Mr. Clark before he came to work for Bally?

- I believe he was employed by Williams prior to coming to Bally, Williams Electronics.
- Isn't it a fact, sir, that this game design group headed up by Mr. Clark can be given some credit for the ability of Bally to sell pinball games with player appeal?
- I certainly think it is a true statement to say that the design of a playfield is a factor in the overall success or failure of a game, yes, sir.
- Do you know the company that had the first talking pinball game?
- It is my understanding that Williams introduced an electronic pinball machine that had synthesized speech in it.

7-2b1

it

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q That was the first company of which you have knowledge?

A It is the first one I have knowledge of, yes, sir.

Q Sir, do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 88 in front of you?

That is that tabulation of --

5 A. This one?

Q Yes, you do have it.

Bear with me just a moment.

(Brief interruption.)

BY MR. GOLDENBERG: .

Q I am sorry. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 381-A?

A. Could I see it?

Q It is the one labeled "Production Totals."

A. I don't have it up here, sir.

I have your copy --

MR. GOLDENBERG: Do you have a copy of that for the witness, 381-A?

(Brief interruption.)

18 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

Q I now show you Plaintiff's Exhibits 381-A and 381-B.

Do I understand correctly, sir, that you prepared those two exhibits based on information supplied to you by the attorneys for Bally?

A. No, sir, the Bally information input of it I dug out as far as production numbers. They supplied the production numbers from the Williams and Gottlieb attorneys.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

Nieman - cross

- So the Gottlieb and Williams information was supplied to You by Bally's attorneys?
- A Yes, sir.
 - Can you tell me, sir, was there any particular reason for eliminating the production numbers for 1982, and here I direct your attention to Plaintiff's Exhibits 89 and 89-A?
- A I don't have those two, 89 and 89-A.
 - Q You don't have those?
 - A Maybe I do. At this point they are --
- 10 Q (Indicating).
- 11 A Okay, I don't have them up here.
- 12 Q All right, sir, you have copies of them now.
 - Can you tell me, sir, why you didn't include the production figures for 1982, even though they are available in Exhibits 89 and 89-A; why were they not included on this Exhibit 381?
- 17 A. Because when we had a discussion with counsel and he asked 18 if I could produce production numbers, I think he said between 19 the Years '74 and '81, and that is what I dug out.
- 20 Q Do you know what happened to the pinball production of 21 Bally in 1982, generally or specifically?
- 22 A. In general terms what the numbers were?
- 23 Q Yes, sir.
- 24 A. It would be my estimate that they would be somewhat below 25 the '81 figures.

- 1
- Q. Aren't they in fact included on this Exhibit 88?
- 2
- A 88 shows sales figures, yes, sir.

Now, again that is sales for '82 as opposed to production of '82.

4

5

All right, sir, but can you --

6

A. They would be within a range of each other, let's say.

7

Q So there wouldn't be a great difference between production and sales for '82 for Bally?

8

9

A. Well, there would be a certain percentage. Whatever the inventory was on December 31, 1982, that would carry over

Q If you look at Exhibit 88, on page 2, where the '82

figures are given, if I told you we added those production

figures up and -- I am sorry. Those are sales figures --

those sales figures up, and it came up to approximately 25,000,

If you want to do the addition yourself from

11

10

into the next year.

13

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

18 | Evhibit 88

18 Exhibit 88 --

- A. Well, no, I am not going to do a longhand addition, sir.
- I was just trying to glance over and look at some of the numbers.

21

Q We have a little pocket calculator if that would help you.

23

A. If you tell me that you did the math --

would that seem correct to you?

24

Our precise number was 24,934.

25

A Approximately 25,000 units.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 Q Can you agree with me, sir, that there was a significant
 2 drop in production for all manufacturers from 1981 to 1982?
 - A I would say the term significant would apply.
 - Q Do you have any explanation for that?
- The demand for the product from the marketplace declined from the demand that was there in 1981.
 - Q Do you know why demand dropped?
 - A. I could offer some theories.
 - Q What would your theories be?
 - A. I would say a continued success in the marketplace of video games through the first half of '82.
 - Q By video sales, you mean electronic video games?
 - A. Electronic coin-operated video games.

The demand remained constant somewhat through the first six months of 1982, and consumed a tremendous amount of the budgets allocated towards new game sales -- new game purchases on the part of operators.

Q Let me be sure I understand this.

The demand for electronic video games was -- remained firm for the first six months of 1982?

- A. Remained very strong.
- Q Very strong, and therefore people weren't buying pinball games.
- A The number of those buying were greatly reduced. The demand for the product was significantly reduced in 1982 as

compared to 1981.

- Q Even though at this point in time they were all electronically controlled in the industry, as far as you know. Isn't that true?
- A By 1982 it's my understanding all manufacturers were producing electronic formatted pinball machines.
- Q. All right, sir. I'm not sure this is that clear, and I apologize if I've gone over --

Someone else has gone over it, but don't you agree that the design of the game, the player appeal, has a great deal to do with the number of games that are sold?

- A Of an individual model, yes.
- And that's true whether you're talking electromechanical games or whether you're talking electronic games.
- Mell, the play appeal in the electronics are certainly -what the potential of play appeal, what you can do with
 electronics is different from what you can do with the
 electromechanical.

So electronically speaking the play appeal features, I think, had more of an impact.

- Well, sir, could you answer my question? Isn't it true, equally true for electromechanical games and electronic games?
- A. That?
- 25 Q I'm sorry?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

Nieman - cross - redirect

- A. Could you finish the question? I'm not sure --
- Q Oh, I'm sorry.

My question is: Isn't it equally true for pinball games, whether they're electromechanical or electronic, that player appeal, play game features, is a great determining factor in whether the game is successful in the marketplace?

A It is certainly a determining factor; and the adjective

- "great" I would be comfortable with using.
- Q Thank you.

Does Bally mark patent numbers on its products, and specifically pinball games?

A I'm not aware. It's not an area I get involved in, so I would not know if the patent numbers exist on the equipment.

MR. GOLDENBERG: I have no further questions.

MR. TONE: A few questions, Mr. Nieman, on redirect.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. TONE:

- Mr. Lynch inquired about the effect of the New York and Chicago ordinances. Do you recall that?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Did those ordinances have any effect on whether arcade
 owners in New York or Chicago or elsewhere chose to buy
 electronic video games as opposed to electromechanical games,
 in your opinion?
 - A Well, no. The ordinance only allowed them to operate

Т9

electromechanical. And I take it then the ordinance, in your opinion, didn't

pinball equipment. It didn't specify electronic versus

have any effect on the decision of arcade opeators as to which kind of game to buy.

No. The ordinance wouldn't affect which type of machine they would buy.

Counsel tells me I misspoke and spoke of video games rather than pinball games. If I did, I meant pinball games.

3

2

Did you understand me to mean that?

4

If you did, I understood it as pinball machines.

5

6

All right. I heard about video games during the cross, and that word may have crept in, but I meant pinball games.

7

I understood it as the impact of the ordinance on the sale of pinball equipment.

8

9

0 All right.

10

11

Is Bally's arcade business all in a subsidiary called Aladdin's Castle, or is there more than one arcade business operated by Bally?

12

13

14

The vast amount is contained within the Aladdin's Α Castle structure, whether or not arcades operated by Six Flags. I believe that revenue remains in the Six Flags operations, but I am not positive.

15

16

So I think it would be safe to say that both are involved then in the arcade business.

17 18

> Do you know whether Six Flags or Bally is a subsidiary as distinguished from a division of the company?

20

19

I understand both of them to be subsidiaries.

22

21

Are they separate profit centers?

23

Yes, sir.

24

25

Which means that the manager of each of those subsidiaries is interested in producing a maximum profit for

Nieman - Redirect

his profit center, is that correct?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Leading, Judge.

MR. TONE: It is leading, but it is redirect, your

Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

THE COURT: I will overrule the objection.

THE WITNESS: Can I answer?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY THE WITNESS:

Q It would be my understanding that a manager of each one of those subsidiaries -- his first priority is to make a profit for his subsidiary.

BY MR. TONE:

Q Do Bally's arcades, and by that I refer to the two you have just spoken of, use pinball games manufactured by Gottlieb?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do they use pinball games manufactured by Williams?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you have any notion of whether the Bally manufactured games are in the majority or the minority in arcades operated by those companies, subsidiaries?

A I would not be aware of the exact numbers of the breakouts. My opinion from reviewing income reports from various
arcades that they operate, it would appear to me that the
Bally equipment is, in fact, in the majority.

5.7 C

Q They have substantial quantities of Gottlieb and Williams games in those arcades?

A Again, the term, substantial, I do not know what is substantial. They have the good games from each of those manufacturers. Whether it is substantial or not I am not sure.

Q Bally also operates a distribution business as we heard on cross-examination, is that correct?

Q Does that distribution business, also function as a separate profit center to your knowledge?

A Yes, sir. It does.

Q Does that distribution business of Bally distribute the games manufactured by other manufacturers?

A Yes, sir. It does.

it is a to the second of

, (J

does

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Do those other manufacturers include Gottlieb and Williams?

- Yes, sir. It does.
- Can you tell me, Mr. Nieman, what percentage of the total arcade business in the United States is represented by Bally arcades; that is, Aladdin's Castle or Six Flags, approximately?
- I would not have the numbers available to me that would indicate what percent of the entire arcade universe they occupy. My only way of making that judgment would be in relating what percent of a particular model I would sell them as opposed to the entire balance.
- Based upon that, can you give us a percentage estimate?
- Well, based on that, it would be a small percentage.
- Can you give us something on the magnitude of what?
- It certainly was below 5 percent, and it is probably more or less 3 percent or under.
- What you are saying -- would you restate it; that is, state what percent of your sales of pinball games you estimate you sell to Bally arcades, either Six Flags or Aladdin's Castle.
- A Well, to arrive at a figure, you would go back and say look at the best situation where the numbers are maximized, say, 1979. I know what we sold of a particular run and would know how many of that run we sold to Aladdin's Castle. That percent of the entire run would be less than 5, probably

Nieman - redirect

around a 3 percent figure.

You spoke of the vertical integration of Bally's manufacturing operations, and Mr. Lynch, I think, asked you a question about that based upon one of the annual reports or a statement in the annual report.

Was there any change in Bally's operations with respect particularly to vertical integration of manufacturing after the advent of electronic pinball games to your knowledge?

Well, as I said in 1978, they divisionalized the entire pinball operation out of the corporate structure and placed it as a separate entity division, if you will.

That is to my knowledge the only change I can recall post-electronic within the structure of Bally.

- Q What does vertical integration of manufacturing operations mean to you?
- A. Well, I think it gets involved -- my interpretation of that would be the involvement of different suppliers that feed your manufacturing facility and how deeply on a vertical basis you so in securing different components there that are involved in the manufacture of the game.

Nieman - Redirect

- Q Did that change so far as you know after electronic games took over the market?
- A Not that I know of, no, sir.
- Q The microcomputer, of course, was not manufactured by Bally, was it, or do you know?
- A The microcomputer, sir?
- Q Yes, the microcomputer system in the game.
- A You mean the boards that are in the game?
- Q Right.
- A They were made by a different subsidiary.
- Q With reference to a term you used, flipper machines,
- Mr. Nieman, is that shorthand or slang meaning what?
- A Technically the term, pinball, can refer to different types of equipment.

There is a thing called an in-line pinball machine that is used in certain markets in the United States and certain markets in the foreign market that is not like the equipment that is here. It is more of a gaming nature.

I reference it as a flipper pinball to mean more like the machine here that has a flipper at the bottom of it that would allow one the skill of projecting the ball back up the playfield.

- Q The term, flipper, refers to the flippers we see on the machines?
- A The flipper assembly at the bottom, right, sir.

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

0 - 1

- Q Are the figures you assembled for us figures for flipper pinball machine's?
- A Yes, sir. They are.
- Q Flipper pinball machines are what we are talking about in this case in your testimony, is that right?
 - A That is my understanding, yes, sir. That is what we are talking about.
 - Q Are those sometimes referred to as flipper machines?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Or pinball machines?
- A Or pinballs, yes, sir.

Well, just to distinguish, I think the common person on the street refers to this type of equipment as a pinball and means this type of equipment.

- Q This type of equipment being?
- A The type that are here in the court.
- 17 | Q The flipper?
 - A The amusement device. Without flippers, there are certain deviations of what they call in-line flippers that are not pure amusement machines, and those you would call in-line, and this I would call a flipper.

MR. TONE: May I see these exhibits?
(Brief interruption.)

1 BY MR. TONE:

- Q Referring to Exhibits 381-A and -B, Mr. Nieman, 381 being headed "Production Totals," just so we are clear about it, are those production totals for games produced in the United States?
- A The Bally numbers are for machines produced in the United States. That is all we produce them.
- Q The Williams and Gottlieb numbers you simply accepted from Counsel and compared those with Bally production in the United States, right?
- A Yes, sir.

MR. TONE: May I confer a moment, your Honor. (Brief interruption.)

MR. TONE: Your Honor, we re-offer Plaintiff's Exhibits 378, 379, and 380.

THE COURT: Those were the ones that we were going to reserve ruling on -- did reserve ruling on?

MR. TONE: Yes.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Yes, your Honor. I maintain my objection to them, particularly on the basis of the answers elicted on Mr. Lynch's examination about how that data was accumulated. It has no really underlying evidence or reliability or accuracy.

MR. LYNCH: It is classic survey evidence, your Honor, and it hasn't been accumulated with any of the care

that survey evidence normally has to be accumulated with.

THE COURT: Which ones were those?

Those were based on business records.

MR. LYNCH: The Bow and Arrow things.

MR. TONE: Those are the Bow and Arrow comparison charts showing that they placed Bow and Arrow electronic and electromechanical games --

THE COURT: I don't regard that as survey evidence. Those are documents based on sales figures.

MR. LYNCH: No, it supposedly indicated the play at those arcades.

THE COURT: But has to be based on some sort of records.

MR. LYNCH: It depends on whether you put it up front, where you put it one on another, what the operator said about, "This is a computerized unit."

Those people are interested in one thing,
your Honor, making a buck, and if they have a gimmick there
to make a buck and they could say, "This is a computerized
machine," there is just no control situation to compare them.
That is all.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, I would remind you that we originally objected to those on the basis that they were not produced to us during --

MR. LYNCH: They were also not produced, and we

could not cross-examine.

THE COURT: Well, I think that objection depends on whether there is any prejudice. I see no prejudice, and as far as the reliability factor is concerned, it seems to me there is sufficient reliability just in the fact that these operators are both motivated by the desire to make a profit and they are not going to put a machine that doesn't look like it is going to be attractive to customers in a prominent place. If they do, they won't leave it there very long if it doesn't sell.

So it seems to me that the question of the location of the table in the premises is something that is probably itself determined by the popularity of the machine rather than vice-versa; but in any case, those are matters that go to the weight of the evidence, not its admissibility.

I will receive those documents.

(Plaintiff's Exhibits 378, 379, and 380 received in evidence.)

MR. TONE: Very well, that concludes the redirect, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any recross?

MR. LYNCH: No questions, your Honor.

MR. GOLDENBERG: No questions.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, sir.

(Witness excused.)

THE COURT: We will take a short -- do you have anything more?

MR. TONE: No, your Honor, I was just going to call the next witness.

THE COURT: All right, let's take 10 minutes before the next witness.

2

3

4

6

5

8

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

(Brief recess.)

MR. TONE: Mr. Clark.

Sorry, I thought he was here. He slipped away, I guess.

THE COURT: When I say 10 minutes, I mean 10 minutes. And what inevitably happens, as you know, is that work in there has accumulated, and I become a kidnap victim to some extent. So please bear with me.

MR. TONE: We all understand I'm sure.

Mr. Clark, will you take the stand.

Plaintiff's Witness Norman Clark, sworn.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Will you state your name. Q

Norman Clark. Α

C-1-a-r-k?

BY MR. TONE:

That's correct. Α

Where do you live, Mr. Clark?

8149 Merrimac, Burbank, Illinois. Α

And where is your place of business? Q

Bally-Midway, 10601 West Belmont.

Are you employed by Bally-Midway? Q

Yes, I am. Α

In what capacity?

Α I'm manager of the pinball design department.

- 1 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q Tell us your post-high school education, summarize it for us very quickly.
- A I took an electrical course at Montreal Tech, and took radio, junior radio engineering at a school called SEC.
- Q Is that also in Montreal?
- A Yes, it was.
- Q And over what period of time did you take those courses?
- A They were night courses. Over about three years.
- Q Will you tell us your employment history prior to the time when you became employed by Williams in Chicago.
- A I came to Chicago and was employed by Hallicrafter
 Radio in the engineering department for a period of seven
 months.
- I then left Hallicrafter's and was employed by Williams Electronics in the early part of '55, and remained there until the end of 1974.
- Q And then what happened at the end of 1974?
- A I joined the Bally organization on January 2nd, 1975.
- Q During the period you were at Williams, which was the period 1955 to 1975, what were your duties and responsibilities? Trace it for us chronologically.
- A When I started at Williams in '55 I went into the engineering department in the capacity of a technician.
 - I then became a circuit man for several years.
- Q What is a circuit man?

I was doing all the electrical circuitry for pinball machines.

duties then were as a designer, project engineer and designer, and as such I did my own circuits.

And this was a project engineer and designer of pinball games?

I then advanced into the design, and my

That's correct.

And for how many years then at Williams were you employed in some facet of the engineering and design of pinball games?

From 1955 until '75, about -- going on 20 years.

4

8

16

- 1 Q You became a project engineer in what year?
- 2 | A Around 1960.
 - Q Then you came to Williams or you came from Williams to Bally the first of 1975?
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q Is that right?
- 7 A. That is correct.
 - Q In January 1975?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q You have been there ever since?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q What have your duties been at Bally?
- A I came to Bally and took over the design department of
 Bally, and my duties were designing or in charge of the
 design of pinball machines.
 - Q. You were in charge of the design and engineering pinball department in Bally?
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q Did your duties change after that?
- No, they have not.
- 21 Q. You have been in that ever since?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- Q Have your titles changed?
- A Yes, sir. The title -- I became a vice president of the design department up until -- about April of last year, and

5

- we closed down our pinball operation at Bensenville, and when
 I moved to Bally Midway about April or May, the title became
- 3 manager of the department.
 - At all times during your employment at Bally, have you had responsibilities in the engineering and design of pinball games?
- 7 A. Yes, I have.
- So you have spent nearly 30 years in the pinball game -in the field of design and engineering of pinball games and
- 10 | 25 in actual design, is that right?
- 11 A. That is true.
- Q When you worked for Williams, did you have knowledge of the products of competitors of Williams?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q Did you examine those products and know how they worked?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Regularly?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 A Has the same been true at Bally?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q Can you estimate for us, Mr. Clark, the number of games
 you designed and engineered, and by this I mean pinball
 games, during the last five years you worked at Williams?
- 24 A I would estimate in the neighborhood of 50 games.
- 26 Q That is over that five-year period?

4

7

8

9

10

14

16

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q Did you also design a substantial number of games prior
- 3 | to that time?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Are you able to estimate that five-year period for a particular reason?
 - A. Yes. I had records of the games in that period of time.
 - Q Is it fair to say that during the 1960's and '70's you had familiarity with the pinball machines manufactured by the three major manufacturers, Gottlieb, Williams, and Bally?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- When you refer to a pinball game or pinball machine, I have heard you in our conversation call it a flipper game.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q Is that another name you use for pinball machines?
 - A. That is a common name in the industry for pinball.
- Q What kind of logic system did commercial coin-operated pinball games have prior to the year 1975?
 - A Electromechanical.
- 20 A How long had that technology been used in the pinball industry?
- 22 A. Ever since the start of the industry, I suppose. It was 23 there when I started in '55.
- Can you briefly describe how an electromechanical pinball game functions?

Exhibit 333?

Can you identify the lighted-up game, which is Plaintiff's

It functions by relays, step units, score motors.

I am going to ask you to step down and take a look at both of these games. One is 333, and one is 332.

(Brief interruption .)

- 1 BY THE WITNESS:
- 2 A The question is can I identify it?
- 3 | BY MR. TONE:
- 4 Can you identify one of them as an electromechanical
- 5 and the other as a --
- 6 A Yes, sir.

10

11

12

13

- 7 | Q Tell us what the other is.
- 8 A The other is electronic operated game.

This (indicating) is electromechanical.

- Now referring to those Flicker games, will you take a look at a document -- you can resume the stand, and take a look at a document marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 337.
 - I am sorry. 377.
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q Can you tell us what that is?
- 16 A That is a general publication of basic knowledge from pin games, on electromechanical pin games.
- 18 Q Did that apply to more than one kind of electromechanical pinball game?
- 20 A Yes, it is a general manual.
- 21 Q That would be applicable to any electromechanical game
 22 Bally manufactured and sold at the time the manual was produ23 ced and used?
- 24 A Yes, that is true.
- 25 Q Now would you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 58 and tell us

9

10

13

17

- what that is? 1
- That is a game manual for the game Flicker. 2
- 3 Is that the game you just looked at here in the court-4 room?
- Yes, that is right. 5
- 6 Tell us what the purpose of that game manual was when 7 Flicker was being manufactured and sold?
 - It is an instruction manual to the operator, informing him how to set up the game and the general features of the game.
- Applicable specifically to Flicker? 11
- That is correct. A. 12
 - Will you now look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 55?
- Will you tell us what Exhibit 55 is? 14
- That is the schematic diagram of that particular game, 15 Flicker. 16
- At my request, Mr. Clark, did you compare that schematic diagram, Plaintiff's Exhibit 55, with the original schematic 18 diagram in the files of Bally Manufacturing Company?
- Yes, I did. 20
- Do you have that original with you today? 21
- Yes, I brought it along. 22
- That is the one copy of those schematics that is retained O. 23 by Bally in its records? 24
- That is true. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Clark - direct

- Q Does it maintain such records on all games it has manufactured?
- A. Yes, sir.

MR. LYNCH: There is no problem with the drawing.

MR. TONE: Very well. Mr. Lynch states there is no problem with the drawing.

I take it Mr. Goldenberg agrees?

MR. GOLDENBERG: Oh, I have no problem with it.

BY MR. TONE:

- Q All right, then would you look at Exhibit 57 and tell us what that is?
- A That is a brochure of the game Flicker that goes out for advertising purposes.
- Q All these exhibits, 377, 58, 55, and 57, apply to the electromechanical Flicker, is that correct?
- A. That is correct.
- All right, Mr. Clark, after 1975 -- you may put down the exhibits -- was there a change in the logic system used in pinball games?
 - A Yes, there was.
- 21 Q What was the change?
- 22 A. The change was from electromechanical logic to electronic 23 logic.
- Q Did Bally make that change?
- 25 A Yes.

Did the other manufacturers in the industry make the

1 Q.

13-2 4

same change?

Not at that time.

- Q Did they subsequently make the change?
- 2 A Yes.

- 2

le.

- Q Did you as chief engineer for pinball machines at Bally --
- 4 and that was your function, was it not?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q (Continuing.) -- have any substantial expertise in
- 7 | logic systems other than electromechanical in 1975?
- 8 A No, sir.
- Q Was the same true of the other pinball game engineers and pinball game designers at Bally?
- 11 A Yes, the same was true.
- Q Was the same true of the other pinball engineers and designers at Williams?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q When did Bally or Midway first produce a commercial microprocessor pinball game?
- 17 | A 1976, I believe.
- 18 Q Were you aware of any commercial electronic games before
 19 that time?
- 20 A There was one that I was aware of.
- 21 Q What was the name of that game?
- 22 \parallel A That particular game was a game called Dynamite.
- 23 | Q Who manufactured it?
- 24 A Allied Leisure.
- 25 Q Did you see the game in 1975?

I ask you to look at a memorandum marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 435.

Do you recognize that memorandum?

Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

- Did you prepare the original of that memorandum on or about the date it bears?
- Yes, sir.
- Do you now as you sit there have an independent recollection of the details of the facts recited in that memorandum?
- Vaque recollection.
- Did you have an accurate detailed recollection of those facts at the time you wrote the memorandum?
 - I imagine I did, sir.
- Did you record them accurately in the memorandum?
- 16 I would have, yes, sir.
- The memorandum contains your report on a trip you took to inspect one of these Dynamite machines, is that right? 18
 - That is correct.
- Will you describe for us, Mr. Clark, the procedure for 20 designing and engineering pinball games while you worked at 21 22 Williams?
- 23 Yes, I will try to.
- 24 All right. 0
- We would start off by trying to get a concept of 25

themes for games and lay them out on paper, full-scale drawings. We would make boards from the drawings, do the electrical circuits for the game, build up the relays, wire the games, get them into a playable condition, make considerable changes until we got the game to a point where we thought it was correct or it would be an acceptable game for the public, and then we would tighten up the drawings.

- What part of that work was done under the direction of the project engineer?
- Α All of it.
- All of it?
- All of it.
- When you arrived at Bally, what was the procedure for developing pinball games of the electromechanical kind?
- It was the same procedure.

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

- And of course you didn't have any experience with
 electronic pinball games at Williams because they weren't
 making them yet. Is that correct?
 - A. That's true.
- 5 Q And essentially the same procedure was used at Bally, 6 did you tell us?
- 7 A That's correct.
 - Q Was there any change in that procedure after Bally began making and selling electronic pinball games?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q And in what respect did the procedure change?
- A. Well, we did not have to do any mechanical diagrams or the assembly relays, relay banks or any of this.
 - We designed the game up to the point where we were going to go into circuitry. We would then go to a programmer and write the story of the game and give it to the programmer, and it was his duty to complete what we would normally have done electromechanically.
 - Q Was the programmer an electronic engineer?
- 20 A. Yes, he was.
- 21 Q And is he today?
- 22 A. Yes, he is.
- 23 Q Is that the way electronic pinball games are designed and engineered today?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Referring back to the Dynamite game, Mr. Clark, do you know what kind of logic system that game used?
 - A. Well, I understood it was TTL logic.
- You didn't take it apart and look at it, but that was
 your understanding of the game?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Referring now to the period 1974, 1975, at that time do you have an opinion as to whether, based on your experience, as to whether persons of ordinary skill in the art of designing and engineering electromechanical pinball games had knowledge of the microcomputer art?
- 12 A. No, sir.
 - Q I suppose it's not quite apt to characterize that as an opinion.

You worked with such people and observed them and had occasion to find out what the extent of their knowledge was pertinent to pinball games. Is that right?

- A. Yes, sir.
- And is it your testimony that such persons, in your experience, did not have knowledge of the microcomputer art?
- A That's right, they had not the knowledge.
- Q Did such persons have knowledge --

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Tone.

These are persons who are skilled in what, did you say?

Clark - direct 799 1 MR. TONE: In the pinball -- in the engineering 2 and design of electromechanical pinball games. And the period 3 is 1974, 1975. 4 BY MR. TONE: 5 And if I were to ask the same question concerning the 6 art -- well, let me put the whole question in its entirety. 7 Referring to that same time period, did persons 8 of ordinary skill in the art of designing and engineering 9 electromechanical pinball games have knowledge of the art of 10 applying microcomptuer logic to pinball games? 11 No, sir. 12 Or of applying microcomputer logic to any games, insofar 13 as you know? 14 No, sir. MR. TONE: May I confer for a moment? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 (Brief interruption.) 17 BY MR. TONE: 18 Do you understand the term, what I refer to when I 19 refer to electrical noise? 20

Yes, sir.

21

22

23

24

25

Will you explain what you understand electrical noise to be, as I'm using that term?

Electrical interference that would interfere with the electronic package. It could be static electricity, electro-

- . 800 Clark - direct magnetic electricity. I believe that's what you're asking. 1 Was electrical noise a problem in the designing and 2 engineering of electromechanical pinball games? 3 4 No. MR. TONE: No further questions on direct, your 5 Honor. 6 I do have some exhibits to offer, those that 7 I identified as Plaintiff's Exhibits 377, 58, 55, 57, and 8 435. 9 THE COURT: All right, they're received. 10 MR. GOLDENBERG: We have no objection. 11 MR. LYNCH: No. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 377, 58, 55, 57 and 435 were 14 received into evidence.) 15 CROSS EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. GOLDENBERG: 17 Mr. Clark, I believe you said in the period of 1960 you 18 became a project engineer at Williams. Is that correct? 19 Yes. 20 And from that time forward you had a responsibility for
- 21 pinball dame design? 22
- That's correct. 23
- Now, for what part of the design did you have responsi-24 bility, the playfield design or the electromechanical 25

Clark - cross 1 801 circuitry underneath, or both? 2 Both. 3 Did you report to anyone, sir, at Williams? 4 A. Yes. 5 To whom did you report? 6 In the early days I reported to Gordon Horlick, who was 7 the chief engineer; and then we moved over to the California 8 plant, and at that time I reported to Frank Murphy. 9 All right, sir. Do you know a Mr. Steve Kordek? 10 I sure do. 11 Do you know what his duties and responsibilities were 12 then? 13 He was the project engineer and designer. 14 Was his responsibility as yours, that is, a responsibility 15 for both playfield design and electrical design? 16 Basically Steve did not get into the circuits as much

as I did. There was a circuit man that did most of the

т15 19

20

17

18

circuits for Steve.

21

22

23

24

Clark - Cross

- Q Now, sir, when you undertood to design a pinball game, what was the first thing you designed? Was it the electrical circuitry, or was it the playfield?
 - A The playfield.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q By the design of the playfield, do we mean where the switches are going to be placed, where the lights are going to be placed in the various alleys and runs on the playfield?
- A That is part of it.
- Q What else?
- A The theme of the game, the actual theme of the game basically is what we are looking at.
- Q Whether the name is going to be called --
 - A Not the name of the game, sir.
 - Q Not the name of the game?
- 15 | A No.
 - Q Well, what do you mean by the theme then?
 - A Play features on the game.
 - Q Would it also include determining the rules in the game, the rules of the game in the sense of determining the scoring values for the different switches and bumpers?
 - A Correct, yes.
 - Q So all that was done before you ndertook any electromechanical design, is that correct?
 - A That is correct.
- 25 | Q It might be changed somewhat later on, but basically

- the playfield design was complete?
- A Right.

- Now, when you undertook the electrical design, what did you do for a game where you had now completed the playfield design?
- A Well, I did the schematic, the basic schematic, on the game, so that we could wire and make the game function.
- Q In the course of doing that, sir, would you make any fundamental changes in the electrical design of the previous game?
- A In some cases, yes.
- Q Well, isn't it the fact that over a period of time in electromechanical games, a certain pattern of electrical design had developed as to whether particular relays and switches and stepping switches were going to be? Isn't that true?
- A To some extent, yes.
- Q And that when you went to a specific game, you changed wiring connections depending on scoring values and switch operation?
- 21 A No. There was a lot more involved than that, sir.
- 22 | Q All right, tell me what more is involved.
- A Well, as you change features on the game, you change
 your basic circuitry to correspond to what you are trying
 to do featurewise. So it is not a case of just moving the

Wire.

Q I understand. But the basic circuit arrangement, there was a pattern in a given company, wasn't there, as to what it was going to look like?

A I am sorry. I do not understand the question.

Q Well, we have here the wiring diagram for the Flicker game.

Can you agree with me, sir, that if -Do you have a copy of that available to you?

A Yes, I do.

Q Start in the upper left-hand portion of that drawing.

Wouldn't that be essentially the same from one electromechanical pinball game to the next, and; that is, the game over switches and the player relays and the bonus zero relays and such?

A Well, you are getting down to the basic functions of game over, relays. They basically remain the same. They could possibly change, but they basically remain the same.

2

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

- It would change whether it was a one-player game or a two-player game or a four-player game?
- Or you had to tie something featurewise into it, it could change.
- Continuing on, would it be correct, sir, that an element that I am looking at here called a bonus unit disk, which is a rectangular thing about two-and-a-half feet in from the edge of the drawing -- well, it would be in the area H-9, and, your Honor, the letters I am referring to are down the side of the drawing and the numbers are across the bottom. much in the manner of a road map to locate things on the drawing.

Here I see a bonus unit disk. Was it common in pinball games the period that we are talking about to include a bonus unit disk as part of the design?

- Yes.
- So that might be changed depending on the amount of bonus you were going to give or when you were going to give the bonus, would that be correct?
- It could be changed in various ways, yes.
- If I continue on down the drawing, I see different -well, tell me if I see these things correctly.

Looking at the upper half of the drawing, I see some coils along the bottom, about the middle of the The first one I see says, "Bonus Unit Step-Up drawing.

Solenoid."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

Do you see that? That is at F-ll.

- A On the bottom half?
- Q I am sorry?
- A On the bottom half did you say?
- Q If I said bottom half, I misspoke. I meant upper half.

THE COURT: Bottom part of the upper half.

THE WITNESS: Okay, fine.

BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Q Do you see that bonus unit step-up solenoid?
- A Yes.
- Q So if it was common to have a bonus unit, was it common to have a bonus unit step-up solenoid?
 - ∥a yes.
 - Q If I continue along at the bottom of the upper half, generally in line with the letter F, I see a whole number of coils with legends by them, indicating generally what the function of that coil is, is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- 20 Q Those coils in some cases may be solenoid coils, is that correct?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q In other cases they may be relay coils?
- 24 A That is true.
- 25 | Q That general arrangement was common throughout pinball games

of that time, was it not?

A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

- Q I understood in five years you designed 50 games for Williams, is that correct?
- A Roughly.
- Q Roughly?
- A Approximately, yes.
- Q Did you do the playfield design for all of those games?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q Did you do the electrical design?
- A Initial electrical design, yes.
- 12 Q I am sorry?
- 13 A Initial electrical design, yes.
- Q What do you mean by the initial electrical design?
- A Well, when the game went into production, I would turn over my circuits to a circuit man, who would clean the circuits up to put them into production.
 - Q Would he change your design or just reduce it to permanent drawings?
 - A There might be slight changes if they can save a part here or there. When I made the light wood, of course, we weren't that particular on saving a switch.
- Q So, therefore, sir, in that period you were able to
 design 10 new games a year, both from the point of view of
 playfield design and what you have called initial electrical

design, is that correct?

A Some of the playfields, sir, were used on more than one game.

9

10

11

12

ame

- Q Does this 50 then include --
- A. Yes.
- So they just changed the name of the game; is that what you are telling me?
- Not necessarily the name. We would go and maybe make a four-player or two-player or single-player Atom Ball. The same playfield could conceivably have been used in a four-player and a two-player.
 - I see, but you were, therefore, able to design on the average of ten games a year both from the playfield design point of view and the electrical point of view, is that correct?
- 13 | A. Correct.
- 14 Q You found that a task that you could accomplish quite
 15 well with your knowledge of pinball and your knowledge of
 16 pinball circuitry, is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Do I understand your testimony correctly, sir, that to your knowledge, none of your fellow employees at Williams at the time you were employed there had any skill in electronic design, is that correct?
- 22 A. None of the people I was associated with in the construc-23 tion of pinball games had any skill.
- 24 0 And you had no such skills?
- 25 A No, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

- 1 Q If I understand it correctly, you have no such skills
 2 today?
 - A That is true, sir.
 - The design procedure that you described while you were employed at Williams of first designing the playfield and then the electronics, you say that has now changed at Bally Manufacturing, is that correct?
 - A The procedure of taking the game through from the initial concept to where it is ready for production has now changed.
 - Q Do you supervise the people at Bally who are responsible for electronics design?
 - A. No, sir.
- 13 Q Do you supervise the people at Bally who are responsible for programming?
- 15 | A. No, sir.
- 16 Q Who does that?
- 17 A. It is done under a different department, sir.
 - Q What is the different department?
- A. I would presume it is called the electrical or electronic department.
 - Q Do you know who heads that department?
- 22 A Yes, John Bruserv is the vice president in charge of programming electronic work.
- Q You have no electronic engineers reporting to you, I gather, is that correct?

I have a programmer that is assigned to us to work on the

games, not under my jurisdiction.

T17 3

- 1
- Q He's not under your jurisdiction.
- 2
- A Right.
- 3
- Q He comes from this other department?
- 4
- A That's correct.
- 5
- Q How about electronics engineers, do you have any eletronics engineers who work for you?
- 6
- A No, I don't.
- 8
- Q When you need an electronics engineer, electronic engineering help, where do you get that?
- 10

A From the electronic department.

theme of the game. Is that correct?

- 11
- Q So your present responsibilities include a responsibility for creating the playfield and the rules of the game, the
- 13

14

12

A Yes, sir.

Yes, sir.

That's true.

- 15
- Q And mechanics, such mechanical design as may be involved?

Now, would it be a correct understanding, when you've

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- Q Now, at some point the computer system has to be connected up to your playfield design, does it not?

completed the playfield design and have written the rules

of the game, if I may call it that, you then take it to a

computer programmer who writes the computer program?

- A Yes.
- O Who does that? Does your department do that or does

the electronics department do that?

- A We're given the chip from the electronic department to put into the computer CPU.
- Q Well, how about wiring up physically --
- A We're given that information.
- Q No, sir. Hear my question.
- -- physically connecting the output of the computer circuit board to a given lamp or a given switch. Who does that?
- A The information for wiring is given to us by the electronic department in order for us to cable the machine.
- Q And there's nobody in your department who is capable of doing that, I gather?
- A That's right.
- 15 Q Have you attempted to have any of the people who report to you learn electronics or learn computer programming?
 - A No, sir.
- 18 0 Why not?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

24

- 19 A Hasn't been a requirement.
- Q Do you have a view, sir, whether it's easier for the electronics engineer to learn something about pinball so he can program for pinball, or whether it's easier for a person with pinball experience to learn electronics?
 - A I wouldn't know, sir.
 - O You have no view on that?

```
1 | A
```

8

- A No, sir.
- 2 Do you know anyone of background such as yourself that
- has learned electronics, computers, so that you don't have
- 4 to depend upon the electronics department?
 - A Would you mind repeating that question?
- Q I'll have the reporter read it back, and then if you don't understand it I'll restate it.
 - Q (Read by the reporter.)
- 9 | BY THE WITNESS:
- 10 | A No, sir.
- MR. GOLDENBERG: I have no further questions.
- 12 | BY MR. LYNCH:
 - Q Mr. Clark, you indicated you joined Bally in 1975.
- 14 | A Yes, sir.
- MR. LYNCH: May it please the Court, your Honor, there's some brief references to some defendants' exhibits,
- if I can give your Honor the book. This is 1 to 4. We only
- 18 | have 12.
- 19 | BY MR. LYNCH:
- 20 Q Mr. Clark, when you came -- when you came on board at
- 21 | Bally there was an electronic Flicker project underway,
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A Yes, sir, something underway.
- 24 0 And it was under the charge of Mr. Bracha, correct?
- 25 A That's correct.

Clark - Cross

- Now, Mr. Bracha was an electronics engineer, wasn't he?
- A Yes, sir.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

23

24

25

. 1

- And when you first encountered Mr. Bracha you found that Mr. Bracha understood such things as microprocessors and microcomputers and electronics. Correct?
 - A I don't know. I have no knowledge.
 - Q You don't know whether he did?
 - A No. That's true.
 - Q But there were engineers on hand at Bally at the time you arrived in January of 1975 that had such knowledge?
 - A There could have been, yes.
- 12 | Q Did you know a Mr. Engelhardt?
- 13 | A When I joined Bally I met him.
- 14 | Q Do you know if he had that background?
- 15 A I presume he did.
- Q At the time you joined Bally there were a number of processes or projects -- at the time you joined Bally there were a number of projects underway to convert various gaming machines to solid state. Isn't that correct?
 - A I don't know, sir.
- 21 O You don't remember that?
- 22 | A I don't know.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

Α

Α

22

23

24

25

No.

No, sir.

I show you a copy of Exhibit 4-0, a Bally evaluation team meeting, indicating at the bottom of Exhibit 4-0 that you received a copy.

THE COURT: What is that number?

MR. LYNCH: 4-0, your Honor, not 40, 4-0.

BY THE WITNESS:

Yes. I do remember this.

BY MR. LYNCH:

Now, at the bottom part of Exhibit 4-0, there is an indication there was an electronic flipper project, correct?

That is right.

That electronic flipper project is the project that eventually wound up producing the Bally commercial games that are controlled by microprocessors, correct?

I believe so.

Now, this Exhibit 4-0 indicates that you were on a board that would evaluate how Bally would undertake this electronic flipper project, correct?

I do not recall the board, sir. Α

You do not recall the board?

Do you recall undertaking any considerations at that 0 time about the Bally flipper project?

I show you Exhibit 4-R, a memo dated April 7, '75,

from Mr. Joe Robbins to all members of the Bally electronic flipper review team.

3

2

Your name also appears as a copy addressee

4

of that.

A Yes.

6

7

5

Q Does that refresh your recollection, Mr. Clark, as to your being on that review team?

8

A No, sir.

9

10

Q Does it refresh your recollection as to the expertise of Mr. Bracha and Mr. Engelhardt?

11

A No, sir.

that meeting.

12

Q I show you what has been marked as Exhibit 4-T,

13

Mr. Clark, departmental correspondence having to do with electronic flipper meeting held May 2nd, 1975.

14

15

It indicates that you were in attendance at

16

A I am very vague on this.

18

19

17

Q I see. So you do not recall this meeting either where it was indicated it was decided we would not go the Nutting way?

20

21

A No, sir. I do not.

22

Q Do you remember at the time that you joined Bally that there was under consideration whether Bally should

23 24

adopt the Milwaukee approach or what was called the

25

Milwaukee approach in some documents, or the Nutting way,

or the way that they had begun in house to design an electromechan -- I mean, a solid state flipper game?

A I do not recall it, sir.

You have no recollection of that?

A No, sir.

Q Finally, you indicated, Mr. Clark, that you had been familiar with a number of -- the Dynamite game made by Allied Leisure?

A I had seen it, yes, sir.

* J

0 2 b1

Yesiri

Q. Did you also see at the 1975 MOA show any solid state microprocessor controlled games being exhibited or shown by Atari--the 1974 MOA show?

4

2

3

A I do not recall that, sir.

5

Q You do not recall that.

6

7

8

9

I show you what has been marked as Exhibit 4-X, a memo from Mr. Joe Robbins to Frank Bracha showing a copy to you indicating that Mr. Robbins and a Mr. McMurdy visited California, the factory Of Ramtec, and looked at their solid state 4004 set-up of a microprocessor controlled pinball game.

10 11

Do you remember any reports on that game?

12 13

A. No, sir.

14

15

16

Q. Now, during the time period, do you recall or do you have any knowledge, Mr. Clark, as to whether the commercial coin-operated pinball games of Bally used the design prepared by Mr. Bracha?

17

A. As far as I know, they did, yes.

19

18

MR. LYNCH: I have no further questions, your Honor.

MR. TONE: One or two questions, your Honor, on

20

redirect.

22

24

25

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

23 | BY MR. TONE:

Q I think you testified in response to a question for Mr. Goldenberg that the arrangement on Plaintiff's Exhibit 55,

Clark - redirect

the flipper schematic, was common to pinball games of that period. Do you recall that?

- A. He asked several questions, sir, asking if they were common to pinball games. The answer was yes.
- Q Is it fair to say then that the electromechanical flipper, which is the machine in the courtroom on the left marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 332, was typical of the electromechanical pinball game, coin-operated, as it existed in the middle 1970's?
- A. Yes, sir.

MR. TONE: Nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any recross?

MR. LYNCH: Nothing from me, your Honor.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Nothing.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Clark. You may stand down.

(Witness excused.)

MR. TONE: We have another witness, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. TONE: Mr. Schnayer will handle the direct examination.

MR. SCHNAYER: I call to the witness stand Dr. James Schoeffler, please.

JAMES SCHOEFFLER, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SCHNAYER:

T19

Q Please state your name and residential address.

- My name is James D. Schoeffler, S-c-h-o-e-f-f-l-e-r, 4090 Carroll Boulevard, C-a-r-r-o-l-l, University Heights, Ohio.
- Q Please describe your formal education beginning with college and giving appropriate dates.
- A I received a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering in 1955 from Case Institute of Technology in Cleveland, Ohio.

I received a Master's degree, Bachelor of Science degree in electronics in 1957 also from Case Institute of Technology.

Schoeffler - Direct

MR. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, if it will shorten this
matter, we are prepared to stipulate that Dr. Schoeffler has
all the credentials and background set forth in his resume,
which --

THE COURT: Do you have a resume there that is being offered as an exhibit?

MR. SCHNAYER: Yes, I think it would be helpful, however, because this witness has particular background --

THE COURT: I understand that, but anything that is on the exhibit, why don't you just let me read that part, and then you can cover it.

I mean, I don't mean that you can't cover anything on here, but rather than duplicate it, I will look it
over.

MR. SCHNAYER: Okay, your Honor.

BY MR. SCHNAYER:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

- Q Dr. Schoeffler, referring to PX-425, I would ask if you could identify that, please.
- A That is a copy of my resume.
- Q Was that prepared under your direction?
- 21 A Yes, it was.
 - Q Is it accurate, to the best of your knowledge?
- 23 A To the best of my knowledge.
- Q There is a section of your resume entitled
 - "Publications." Does that list of publications included

Schoeffler - Direct

in that section of your resume accurately reflect all of the publications?

- A Yes, it does, to the best of my knowledge.
- Q Approximately how many publications have you authored or co-authored?
- A Approximately 100 since early '60s, starting around 1961.
- Q How many of those publications relate to microprocessor-based systems?
- A Those that are directly related to microprocessor systems, probably about 20 percent.

Of course, none of those in the early '60s.

Most of the others related to real time computer systems and their applications.

- Q We will pass over some of the professional societies that Dr. Schoeffler is a member of and some of his other credentials.
- Dr. Schoeffler, what is your present occupation?
- A I am professor and chairman of computer and information science at Cleveland State University, Cleveland, Ohio.
- Q How long have you been in that position?
- 23 A I joined Cleveland State in 1975 in that position.
- 24 Q Did you have any teaching experience prior to that?
 - A Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Could you please explain what teaching experience you had?

Certainly. 'I began teaching formal university courses during my doctoral program at M.I.T.

Right after leaving M.I.T. in 1960, I joined Case Institute of Technology in the department of electrical engineering, and for the next 15 years, up until I left for Cleveland State in 1975, I taught a number of courses, ranging from electric circuit design, electronic circuit design, network theory, automatic control, computer control courses in the later years, data acquisition, signal processing kinds of courses, things of this nature.

ure 1

- Q What courses do you presently teach at Cleveland State University?
- At the present time the general area of courses would include courses in computer operating systems, real time software systems, software engineering type of courses, courses in computer architecture, studying the detail organizations and application of microcomputers, minicomputers, and the design of communication devices among them and the like, programming language system courses and the like.
 - Which, if any, of those courses have applications to the field of microprocessors?
 - A All of those courses are relevant to microprocessors in one aspect or the other, especially in the application.
 - Other than courses that you have taught at universities, have you taught any courses which are relevant to the computer control art -- computer control systems, excuse me?
 - A. Yes, I have. When I joined Case in 1961, I went back to Case primarily because of the systems research center there, which was a group that was formed to do research and development in on-line industrial control applications.

In those years the mini-computer was just beginning to be applied to the control of industrial problems and industrial processes, the making of paper, steel, and the like, and it was a very difficult field. An industrial

Schoeffler - direct

consortium, the major computer vendors, the major application industry, steel, paper, and the like, and the major suppliers of equipment to that industry, all got together and funded this research group at Case, and for the next 15 years and to the present day I still work very much in that field. We have carried out research with industrial support in that kind of an area.

As a consequence, I became heavily involved in continuing education kind of courses outside of the university for practicing engineers. This was new technology that was being applied, the mini-computer in the '60's and the microcomputer in the '70's.

So, for example, starting about 1963 at Case we started a continuing education course to teach the methodology of data acquisition and computer control to practicing engineers. This included all of the aspects of gathering signals, handling the noise, the multiplexing, and other kinds of problems that were important in those years, and that continued on through about 1973, both for our sponsors and for the general engineering public who wished to attend.

T120 22

In the early '70s the rate of change of technology was very great, the late '60s, around '69 and '70, and so a group of 14 midwestern universities formed a group called the National Engineering Consortium, the N.E.C, whose objective it was to take leading technological areas -- and computer control was one, and microcomputers, et cetera, later on, was another -- and to offer courses to practicing engineers on a continuing education basis to attempt to bring them up to the state of the art.

I began teaching such a course with the N.E.C. in the early '70s, and continued through the decade.

The other purpose of this course was to invite faculty from universities in who were not up to the state of the art, in the hopes that they could take that material back as a basis of a course, and hence make the technology more practical more quickly.

In about 19 -- I'm sorry.

That particular course that I taught was on real time computer control, the software organization and how to handle problems of the type.

Of course at that time that was applied strictly with mini-computers, which are larger of course than microcomputers.

As the microcomputers came into the field in the early '70s, it was determined that there was a need both

Schoeffler - Direct

for educating practicing engineers in microcomputers themselves -- as we just heard, an engineer who was in school when this technology burst upon the scene just didn't have a chance to learn it in a straight-forward way.

And so the N.E.C. added courses in micro-computers of one kind or another.

And the software course that we were given was upgraded, because most of that was equally applicable in the microcomputer area as it was in the minicomputer area. And, in fact, we changed the name to Minicomputer/Microcomputer Real Time Software, from the older name.

I then, in late 1974 -- it was about, I think November of '74 -- was asked to participate in the second course with the N.E.C. on microcomputer architecture. And I produced the first set of notes for that course at the end of '74. And we gave the first offering of that course here in Chicago in fact in late '74 or early '75.

In addition, through my work at Case, all of the companies that sponsored us were equally eager to get into the microcomputer area and try to apply this new technology. And so I participated in numerous in-house courses; seminars, courses, ranging from one day to a week, anything from straight lecturer to a hands-on kind of an experience.

And that went on continuously, and still goes on. I still give those courses today.

I've lectured; been invited abroad to lecture on the subject. For example, in the early '70s the University of Lunde in Sweden decided to start up a computer control group like the one at Case, and I spent two Januaries in Sweden, lecturing to them on the area over the next couple of years.

And more recently the Arab school had a similar program on microcomputer architecture and its applications, and I spent a few days lecturing there.

The other two main places where I worked were the Computer Society, which is a professional society devoted to computer science people -- invited me to give a tutorial on real time software and its applications in areas such as this. And the result of that tutorial, those notes, were turned into a book.

In a similar fashion, it was so difficult in universities to get information about industrial

25 switched.

applications of both minicomputers and microprocessors and microcomputers, that the IEEE, which is the major electrical engineering professional society, invited me to produce a book, which I co-edited -- I didn't write the whole book -- co-edited with a student of mine, where we selected the key technical articles that had been produced over the years in all aspects of using computers in the various situations I have named.

And that was produced by this professional society, and over 15,000 copies of that book were sold.

- Q Dr. Schoeffler, have you had any experience in private industry?
- A I have been in the university since I graduated from M.I.T., as a professor, but I spend a great deal of time consulting.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{And}}$$ so all of my experience with industry has been in the consulting mode.

- Q Has any of your experience in that industry been related to a microprocessor base in other computer systems?
- A Oh, yes.

Of course, I consult in this area, namely, the on-line computer control; and up through the minicomputer area, of course, this was the only area.

As we moved into the microcomputer area, it itched.

4 5

Specific examples of consulting activities in the microcomputer area: In the early '70s, I think it was late '72 or '73, I became a consultant for the Ford Motor Company, who was attempting to use microcomputers for onboard control of the automobile. And that consulting activity proceeded up through about 1976.

In parallel with that, about a year later, Ford also asked me to undertake a research study on the application of microcomputers in a manufacturing environment. And that project went on through 1975; became inactive for a while, then became active about three years ago, and is still currently active.

My major consulting activities in the microcomputer area have been in the product design area, working
with companies that we would term instrumentation companies.

Companies whose names might be a Foxborough or Leeds and
Northrup, or a company like that, who makes products for
industrial control.

And all of the minicomputer-based systems were transformed into microcomputer systems.

This required a tremendous effort in the design of the architecture of these systems. This evolved into, instead of single, stand-alone integrated systems, rather, numerous individual modules that were coupled with communication systems.

And I've participated, as a result, ever since the early '70s, and still do, in the design of controllers, the software organization of the systems, the communication systems.

And the things that are critical in that area are the way you back up the system and do error recovery when

there's a failure.

 $\label{eq:solution} \mbox{So that's the kind of consulting activity} \\ \mbox{I've been involved in.}$

- Q Approximately how much of your time have you spent on consulting and research generally?
- A I spend about 20 percent of my time consulting.

Formally, I spend about one-third of my non-consulting time on research.

- Q Approximately how much of your consulting and research time involved microcomputer systems or their applications?
- A Well, none, of course, before the early '70s. But after that, as a rough figure, it's probably two-thirds, three-quarters, something like that, directly and indirectly, and the like.
- Q Was electrical noise involved in your work on real time systems?
- A Electrical noise turns out to be one of the dominant considerations in real time systems, whether it's a small system or a large system.

And in particular, in recent years, with the use of microcomputers in the industrial environment, with communication of high speed going around, noise is a critical factor; and the design and the communication, as a consequence, critical. And I've been heavily involved in the design of such systems as a consequence.

-ence1

MR. SCHNAYER: Your Honor, at this time I would ask the Court to recognize Dr. Schoeffler as an expert in the field of microprocessor applications and operations.

THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.

BY MR. SCHNAYER:

Have you reviewed, in preparation for your testimony, the patent in suit, the prior art relied on by the defendants, and testimony and other materials relating to this case?

Yes, I have.

tion to the pinball industry.

And based on your review of the patent in suit, the prior art relied on by the defendants, and the testimony and other materials relating to this case, what was the contribution if any that was made by the invention to the pinball

I think this invention had a very significant contribu-

industry?

Based on what I reviewed, and in fact testimony like we just recently heard, it is clear that the pinball industry for many years was a static industry, doing things pretty much the same way: The same kind of components, the same kind of control systems and the like.

Essentially no electronic technology; essentially no real electronic pinball game having been achieved and showing up in the marketplace and the like. The status quo kind of situation.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

as --

I trust?

24

23

25

MR. GOLDENBERG: Bei

MR. SCHNAYER: Yes.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Thank you.

It's my understanding that the introduction of this electronic pinball game, based on the Frederiksen-Nutting patent, was a revolutionary game, and it turned the industry around in a completely unforeseen way, in a very short time.

MR. GOLDENBERG: Excuse me, Judge: Kind of a voir dire question.

Is the witness reading from something?

THE COURT: Doesn't appear to me that he is.

THE WITNESS: I am not reading. I have an outline of some notes.

MR. GOLDENBERG: May we be given a copy of those?

MR. SCHNAYER: We'll provide them.

MR. GOLDENBERG: We would like to have it before the witness proceeds any further.

MR. SCHNAYER: He has a single copy.

THE COURT: Well, he may continue with his testimony. But if he's using something in the course of his testimony, counsel should have a copy of it.

MR. SCHNAYER: We'll provide you with that as soon

MR. GOLDENBERG: Before we resume tomorrow morning,

BY MR. SCHNAYER:

2 0

Q Could you continue, please, Dr. Schoeffler.

A Yes. I was trying to make the point that the introduction of this pinball game, this electronic pinball game, was a revolutionary thing in the industry, and not an evolutionary one, in that it was a sudden and dramatic change.

Based on my understanding of the pinball games as they existed, and my understanding of the new electronic pinball game, it was not simply to take the controller, that is, the electromechanical logic which carried out the game rules in the pinball machine, and simply replace them by an electronic equivalent.

If that were the case, it would be more of an evolutionary kind of a change. But it wasn't this way at all.

The Nutting et al. patent, that design, was revoluationary in the sense it came up suddenly with a brand new architecture that implemented the game rules and controlled the pinball machine in a way that just was not done before.

It was a radically new architecture or organization for the control system. And the net result of this, it had many advantages, and it rather quickly, is my understanding, displaced the old technology.

That's the definition of a revoluationary in comparison to an evolutionary change.

Schoeffler - direct

The advantages were the ones that we have heard about; namely, new features, ease of manufacturing, reuse of the hardware so that, for example, you could change the game rules by changing the program, things of this nature.

But the net result of this was a sudden abrupt change and a moving of the pinball industry into the so-called electronic era, sort of wedding the microprocessor, microcomputer in electronics fields with the pinball industry, and it has remained that way ever since.

- Q Did this involve any technical problems?
- A. In the early '70's to someone who was not in the field looking at trying to control a pinball game with a computer or a microprocessor thing, it may have looked relatively easy, but we have to put into perspective what it was like in the early '70's.

The microcomputer had just been developed, and it showed marvelous promise, and the microcomputer vendors, of course, are in the same situation where they have a solution looking for a problem. It is a new technology, and it is not being used except perhaps in calculators. And as a consequence, a lot of hype, or advertising, marketing kind of information is developed attempting to create market for a new product.

So it is sort of natural that the problems

• 1

Would be dismissed.

8-

21-2 20

Went through in the '60's where the absolutely identical sequence of events occurs; namely, the mini-computer sprang on the field, which was a marvelous development and a reduction in price of computers. And the mini-computer vendors did their darndest to try to create markets for them and sell them.

Well, the market that I was involved in was industrial computer control. So as the theory would go, you can control your steel mill, your paper mill, your glass plant, with a computer and increase productivity and do all these things, et cetera.

The process control industry was not nearly as fortunate as the pinball indudstry. There was no sudden abrupt invention which came along and made a change very quickly. It was 10 years of very bitter effort attempting to solve the problems, to understand the problems, and to actually succeed in getting a computer to run a plant.

Plant1

Schoeffler - direct

The consulting work I did in the '60's with IBM, I actually was a full-time consultant for a year on leave with the university. We were attempting to put mini-computers-and IBM mini-computer in a paper-making plant doing that.

My one recollection of that year is an article in a computer paper which indicated at that point in time there were exactly as many computers being installed in the paper industry as being de-installed or thrown out of the paper industry because they did not work.

The problems of getting a computer to interact in an industrial environment, to get around the noise problem and other problems, were really very difficult and over-whelming. It took many good people working for many years to get around those problems.

Coming back to more directly answer your question, what were the problems? I thought about this, and I have listed three.

One, if you are going to do a project like this, you have to recognize that there really were problems. You just cannot look at it and say it is straightforward, jump in and do it and do not think ahead. You have to recognize it; otherwise you will never do the planning, et cetera, so that this thing can come out.

You have to recognize, for example, in the case of the pinball game the rather severe economic constraints,

Schoeffler - direct

that you are not working at a Bell Labs or an aerospace company where it makes no difference what the problems are. You just go in and solve them at any cost.

In the case of the work with Ford on the automobile, applying the microcomputer there was very difficult. Whenever the control systems associated with the car, however, get in the way of the microcomputer, you go out and change them; whereas with the pinball machine, the economics are not with upgrading the solenoids and the switches and making them more expensive and the like. The product would just not be economical and feasible. It would not be competitive.

Secondly, once you recognize and admit that there really are problems, you have to recognize how hard they are and be willing to put the resources in to in solving them.

That was the lesson we learned from the '60's in the process control field, and this is necessary even today in any microcomputer project such as a pinball control system.

T22 21

Then after you do all that, of course, you have to be smart enough to solve the problems, and that is a problem all by itself.

Does a real time response have anything to do with the problems in any of the answers that are taught in the patent?

A Yes, the pinball game clearly is the kind of system I would term a real time system, where you are demanding response of the system to events that occur in time that a human being expects to see happen or that the game itself requires to happen.

When the ball hits a bumper, you expect it to be thrown back. When you hit a target, you expect a light to light and so on.

So it is not like a calculator. You have to do it at the instant that the game requires it.

So I would term it a real time kind of application.

THE COURT: Would you give me your definition of real time?

one that must respond to events quickly enough to affect its own environment, and so associated with each event is something I would call its response time. You have to determine that, and then your entire design must be such that you can guarantee and assure that the system can respond within that

1 time.

2

Is that satisfactory?

3

THE COURT: Yes, thank you.

4

5

subject matter, I will have Dr. Schoeffler go through some

MR. SCHNAYER: Because of the complexity of the

6

basic explanation concerning the technology involved, and

7

Dr. Schoeffler has prepared some drawings under his super-

8

THE COURT: All right.

9

BY MR. SCHNAYER:

vision.

11

Q Dr. Schoeffler, will you define what a general purpose computer is?

12

13

A Yes, I prepared these drawings, and if it is all right,
I will talk from those.

14

THE COURT: Sure, go ahead.

computer right here. It consists of something that we

would call a central processing unit and other devices, such

as printers, keyboards, displays for showing the information,

and some kind of tapes or disks for files that would be in a

15

BY MR. SCHNAYER:

system like this.

16 17

Q Do you have a pointer, if you want to?

18

A I have one, thank you.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Basically a general purpose computer is an

This first drawing shows a general purpose

information processing device. The whole idea is that the user of this system specifies an application and somehow provides the information needed to carry out the application, and then the basic work itself is carried out by the computer.

An example might be a payroll kind of application, where we could like the computer to prepare checks each month. It is clear we have to tell it the hours worked by each employee and similar kinds of things, and it is clear we have to tell it the salary or the hourly rate of the individual and the like.

The computer is quite capable of carrying out the calculations involved, multiplying the hours times the rate to get the amount and the like.

In addition, of course, in creating a check, we have to calculate all the deductions and we have to keep track of year-to-date earnings and the like, and so things like files, as shown out here on secondary storage, outside the main storage of the general purpose computer, would contain your past years records. The computer would bring it up, process that information, and perhaps either display the results on a terminal or print the check or the report from the payroll application.

It is general purpose -- and I use the word "general purpose" to differentiate it from the kind of microcomputers that we will be talking about in the pinball

application -- it is general purpose in the sense that the computer itself is not designed for any one specific industry or any one specific application. So everyone tends to use the same computer, and you do your payroll on it and you do your production planning on it and things of that nature.

- Q Could you identify the exhibit number which is contained on that drawing you are referring to?
- A Yes, this is Exhibit PX-385.

Schoeffler - direct

Q. I would ask you as you testify and refer to a particular poster that you identify the number on the poster.

Dr. Schoeffler, would you please define what a microcomputer is?

A. The next chart I prepared, which is Exhibit PX-386, attempts to do this, and especially to make the distinction between the general purpose and the microcomputer.

A microcomputer is a computer, but it is basically a very small computer. It is small physically in that sense, and it is also small in the sense of its capabilities; that is, when we pay the large amount of money that we pay for a general purpose computer, we expect it to do a lot, files, printers, and devices like that, but generally microcomputers are sized according to the application and without a lot of excess. So it is small in capability or throughput and size.

Now, in this diagram we see several of the chips which make up what I call a microcomputer; namely, one of the chips -- a chip is simply a small device about the size of a domino on which we have embedded many, many electronic circuits. It is the creation of the technology to make circuits small like that that makes the whole computer technology available to us.

This chip then is the heart of the computer, and it is commonly called the central processor chip. I

Schoeffler - direct

Will try to use that terminology when I talk about it.

It is the chip that can do the arithmetic and other kinds of functions that we mention that a computer can do, as we see, for example, a calculator calculating numbers and the like.

There are two other groups of chips shown on this board. Over in this section of the board we have the ROM memory chip, the ROM meaning the read only memory chip, being the area where the computer program itself is normally stored.

A major distinction between the microcomputer and the general purpose computer is the fact that the general purpose computer, since it is used for many applications, cannot have a program permanently stored in it; whereas when we dedicate a microcomputer to an application like the pinball, there is only one program it is ever going to run and I put it permanently in, and hence, the terminology "read only."

You don't change it. It is just read once it is put in there.

To keep track of the data that is changing, the status of the switches, what the current score is, how many coins I have inserted or the like, I have to have memory that I can write in and change, and this is the so-called RAM memory. One or more chips that make up the RAM, random

Schoeffler - direct

access or writeable memory, are used in conjunction with the program and the processor to do calculations and to carry Out an application.

- Is the RAM memory like a scratch pad?
- A. The RAM memory is like a scratch pad. If I am doing a calculation and I have to save some intermedial results, I write them down in the scratch pad or in the RAM memory and keep it right here.

In the case of the pinball game, it has to keep track of everything that is going on because we can't write in this memory over here (indicating).

Now, the remainder of the microcomputer are all the other chips that are supplied by the computer vendor--generally microcomputer chips are supplied by a microcomputer vendor, and he supplies essentially central processor chips, memory chips, and on this diagram chips that do inputting and outputting of data.

The computer is useless if I can't get information from the switches because it is when the ball hits the switch that I have to do some calculation on the score, and so these chips under control of the CPU chip can go out and, for example, determine a switch setting or send the command to a solenoid to pop a ball out of a hole or something of this.

Now, it is the combination of all of these

devices then that makes up our small computer, and to use it in an application then, I would have to have many other things; but the term "microcomputer" itself consists of these vendor supply chips, memory, CPU chip, and in input/output kinds of chips to carry out that application.

22-3 6

icaton

Q How were those various chips interconnected to make a microcomputer?

A I didn't attempt to draw it on the diagram, but these dominoes containing the electronic circuits, of course, have connections coming off of them and all of these have to be connected together. So there are wires all over the place printed on the board that I didn't show. It would all be black if I really showed it that way, but basically those interconnections are commonly in the industry called a bus. It is a pathway along which the information can pass to and from each of the major components in the microcomputer.

Q Dr. Schoeffler, could you please explain. We are using

the term "microcomputer" and in the past the Court has heard the term "microprocessor."

Could you explain the difference or similarity between them?

A The chip that I have called the central processor chip in the microcomputer, its correct technical term is actually the microprocessor chip; that is, the single chip that does the calculation is technically the microprocessor.

Now, in common terminology, however, one uses the word "microprocessor" often for the entire microcomputer, and so that gets confusing between the chip. So I am going to attempt to use the word "microcomputer" for the set of vendor supplied chips and equate that to microprocessor.

4 5

So I will use those interchangeably, and when we talk about the chip itself, try to be consistent and call that a CPU chip.

Q Dr. Schoeffler, would you please explain what a computer program is and how it operates?

As we indicated in the microcomputer, all of the control of this has to reside in the CPU chip. It is the brains or the traffic cop that directs all of the input, all of the output, all of the calculations that are done, things of that nature.

I prepared this exhibit or this sketch, which is labeled PX-387, to attempt to explain the importance of the concept of a program.

I have drawn the analogy with a train on a track. Basically the definition of a program is easy. It is a sequence of operations or instructions that the computer is supposed to carry out.

These are very elementary things. Just like the keys on a calculator, I can add things, subtract things, read data in or send data out.

We can imagine in this analogy that all of these railroad ties along here are the individual instructions in the computer program. Computer programs involve hundreds and hundreds and thousands and thousands of such instructions. If we imagine those to be the instructions, and if we imagine the engine to be the computer, the CPU chip executing or carrying out those instructions, then the carrying out of a program is simply find an instruction, do what it says, find the next instruction, do what it says, find the next instruction, and do what it says.

If you put enough of these together, you will do a payroll, or if you put enough of them together, you will -- properly, of course -- you will carry out a pinball application.

Now, down here in the center of this exhibit I have shown a bypass track or sequence of instructions, an alternate path and a switch, and just as we can imagine the train taking one track or the other, depending on which way the switches close, we can imagine a computer program that is proceeding along executing one instruction at a time. When it gets to the point where it has received a piece of data; for example, this switch is closed -- instead of proceeding with this series of instructions, it may proceed with this series of instructions, which might then call for updating a certain score or a target or popping up a

solenoid or what-have-you, whatever these instructions are intended to carry out.

Now, that is a very gross simplification, oversimplification. In a real program, there are dozens and dozens and dozens of such bypasses or loops or subroutines, each of which is designed to carry out specific tasks that are unique to the particular application of the microcomputer.

- Q Dr. Schoeffler, I show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 30 and ask you if you can identify that.
- A Yes. This is a copy of the Flicker program which is part of the Nutting/Frederiksen patent.
- Q Have you had an occasion to review this program and determine how it operates?
- A Yes, I have.

- Q Could you please explain just in general terms what this program is and relate it to your example that you showed, the last example you discussed?
- A Yes, I will.

Each and every line on each of every page -there are nine pages -- is an instruction which corresponds
to one of the railroad ties here.

As I recall, there are -- Frederiksen testified that there were eight or 900 such instructions in this program. So each one of those is a railroad tie and has to be executed at the appropriate time by the train.

through those instructions one instruction at a time and then perhaps return to where you were, so that you can continue with what you were doing.

Or. Schoeffler, approximately how many different types of instructions are contained, for example, in Plaintiff's Exhibit 30, approximately?

A Oh, I don't even remember the number of different instructions for this particular microcomputer; 50 or something like that.

Q On the order of 50?

A I would guess offhand, but I do not recall the number.

It is on that order of magnitude, I am sure.

So the programmer, when he generated this, he had to use these various instructions, these 50 instructions, to generate a program in order to make the pinball machine operate the way he wanted it to, isn't that true?

A That is correct.

Once you pick a particular microcomputer, the Intel microcomputer, for example, that Nutting used in the Flicker, the manufacturer has built into it these 50 instructions, and the machine knows how to do those and no others.

So if you want to use it, you have to couch your solution in terms of those 50 instructions. So you arrange them in an infinite variety of sequences in order

Now, as you look down the first page of that program, you see some organization of the program that is important to understanding what is going on and how the program controls a real time system like a pinball machine.

For example, on the right, we see all of the comments that are actually written in English so that one can read them and pretend to understand them at least:

Set Game Over, Switch Subroutine, Ignore a Noisy Switch.

by the computer. They are there in the hope that someone reading this program can decipher it because the reading of the instructions themselves is very non-obvious when one sees the names of the instructions that come down the center column right here.

Now, equally important and interesting and relevant to the patent are the names down the left-hand column. We see a name like main switch, D-E-C-R, which is an abbreviation for decrement. And on the succeeding pages: Timer, MUX, for multiplexing, Overflow -- each of those starting with that name and then down to the next name on the page corresponds to a section of the program and is more or less analogous to one of these bypass routines over here.

So this program is organized so that, roughly speaking, if it is now time to do scoring, you go off and find the section of the program called scoring and run

to carry that out.

Could you characterize this as, for example, a symphony using these 50 instructions or 50, for example -- the certain number of notes, and it is combined to make this machine operate in an appropriate manner to make it operate as a pinball machine?

A You could if you are trying to bring out the point that an application, like the pinball machine, requires many, many different things to be done.

Schoeffler - direct

It is really not like the payroll application where I know if I get your hours worked and look up your salary, I do this, do this, do this, and I am through.

ball machine is very much centered around events that occur that you cannot anticipate, and you have no idea when they are going to occur. You have no idea when the ball is going to hit a bumper. You have no idea when a switch is going to open or close. It is your job to design a controller that will do the right thing.

So there are many things going on at the same time. As a result, it is necessary to organize the program and the sequences of instructions in such a way that all of those things happen, and nothing like that works in practice, has been my experience, unless it is put together as carefully as your symphony, very carefully planned and designed.

That was my point when I mentioned that you not only had to recognize the problems; you had to devote enough resources to it to solve it in a correct fashion. It is a very, very difficult problem to design a solution to a real time system using instructions like this and hardware.

T 2 4 23

Schoeffler - direct

And furthermore, it's the relationship between the hardware and the software is very, very tight. They interrelate, and they are very closely coupled.

It isn't like the general purpose computer that you use for everything. It is really directed toward a specific kind of application.

THE COURT: This might be a good time for us to recess. Tomorrow let's make it 10:30. I've got quite a full program. 10:30 tomorrow.

MR. TONE: 10:30, your Honor.

THE COURT: I might as well tell you now that the present outlook for the future is that, when I get back from the meeting on the 18th, I've got a sentencing that they tell me is going to take all day on the 19th.

I had thought it would take an hour. The government wants to put on evidence, and they want to take all day. So that's the 19th.

And then I've got a hearing in another case that has to be done now; that's going to take all day Friday, the 20th, and all day Monday, the 23rd, according to what they presently tell me.

So instead of getting back to this case on the 19th, as I told you last week, it now looks like we'll get back to it on the 24th, Tuesday the 24th.

If there's any change in that, I'll let you

know. But it looks to me like that's the most probable pro-

MR. GOLDENBERG: Judge, do I understand correctly
we are to have access to those notes that the witness had?

MR. TONE: I'm not sure -- certainly they're en
titled to anything the witness used in preparing -- I'm

not quite sure they're entitled to them in the middle of

his direct examination, however, your Honor.

I think we would say they are not.

THE COURT: Well, it's just a matter of convenience,

I suppose. If they get them after the direct is concluded,

and they need time to study them in order to use them in

cross-examination, that means we've got dead time here.

It's similar to the Jenks Act kind of situation. I tell the government: Turn it over so we don't have to take a recess after the direct examination for the cross-examiner to look at the material.

So I would recommend that you, if it's available now, turn it over now. That way they can go right into cross-examination without having to take time to study it.

These things usually turn out to be no big deal, and maybe that's the situation here.

All right. I'll see you tomorrow at 10:30. (Proceedings adjourned from 5:45 p.m. to the next day at 10:30 a.m.)